# Electrophilic Bromination of *N*-Acylated Cyclohex-3-en-1-amines: Synthesis of 7-Azanorbornanes

by Peter Kapferer and Andrea Vasella\*

Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, ETH-Hönggerberg, HCI, CH-8093 Zürich

The intramolecular bromo-amidation and the dibromination-cyclisation of the N-acylcyclohex-3-en-1amines 4, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, and 16 was studied in view of the synthesis of bicyclic amines that are of interest as building blocks and potential glycosidase inhibitors. The trifluoroacetamides 4, 9, and 14 reacted with Nbromosuccinimide (NBS) in AcOH to give dihydro-1,3-oxazines in good yields. The stereoselectivity of the dibromination of the alkenes 8 and 9 depends on the nature of the protecting group, the reagent, and the reaction conditions. Br<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> transformed the alkenes 8 and 9 predominantly into diaxial trans,transdibromides. Bromination of 9 with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> or with Br<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Et<sub>4</sub>NBr gave predominantly the diequatorial trans.cis-27 besides some trans.trans-28. A similar bromination of the C(5)-substituted N-acyl-4aminocyclohexenes 11, 13, 14, and 16 with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> was accompanied by intramolecular side reactions that were suppressed by the addition of excess Et<sub>4</sub>NBr. Under these conditions, 11 gave diastereoselectively transdibromides, while its reaction with Br<sub>2</sub> gave trans-dibromides along with the dihydrooxazinone 31. Also the carbamate 13 reacted with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>/Et<sub>4</sub>NBr selectively to the trans-dibromide 32 and with Br<sub>2</sub> to the transdibromides 32 and 33, the dihydrooxazinone 34, and the bicyclic ether 35. Similarly, the trifluoroacetamide 14 provided the dibromide 36 (89%), while its reaction with Br<sub>2</sub> led to the dihydrooxazine 22, and the dibromides 36 and 37. The N-benzyl-N-Boc derivative 16 did not yield any dibromide; it reacted with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>/Et<sub>4</sub>NBr to the dihydrooxazinone 38, and with Br2 to the oxazinone 38 and the bicyclic ether 39. The high stereoselectivity of the bromination with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>/Et<sub>4</sub>NBr suggests an anchimeric assistance of the NHR substituent. Deprotection, cyclisation, and carbamoylation transformed the dibromides 27, 29, and 32 into the 7azanorbornanes 42, 49, and 53. The diols 45 and 57 were obtained from 42 and 53 via HBr elimination and stereoselective dihydroxylation; they proved weak inhibitors of several glycosidases. In no case could the formation of a bicyclic azetidine (6-azabicyclo[3.1.1]heptane) from the dibromides 26 and 30 be observed.

**Introduction.** – According to the principle of stereoelectronic control, hydrolysis of glycopyranosides with an equatorial aglycon requires an anti- or syn-periplanar arrangement of a C(5)O lone pair and the scissile bond and, thus, a distortion of the ground-state chair conformation [1][2]. Substrates or inhibitors adopting such a conformation have been observed in the crystal structure of their complexes with several  $\beta$ -glycosidases (see [3] and refs. cit. therein), and *Varrot et al.* have postulated that all conformations satisfying this stereoelectronic requirement are harnessed by one or the other glycosidase [4]. Mimics of the corresponding conformers are of interest as potential glycosidase inhibitors. The calystegines, hydroxylated 8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octanes isolated from Calystegia sepium and other species, are competitive inhibitors of several  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -glycosidases ( $K_i$  values ranging from 0.8 to 200  $\mu$ M [5]). The binding mode of the calystegines has not yet been determined. They may bind as mimics of isofagomine that adopts a  ${}^4C_1$  conformation, but they may as well adopt a distorted chair conformation. The isoquinuclidine 1, a 2-azabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (Scheme 1), mimicking a slightly distorted  $^{1,4}B$  conformer of a  $\beta$ -D-mannopyranoside inhibits selectively snail  $\beta$ -mannosidase ( $K_i = 1.0 \,\mu\text{M}$  at pH 4.5, mixed type inhibition) [3], while the analogous mimic 2 of a  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside is a very poor inhibitor of several  $\beta$ -glucosidases [6]<sup>1</sup>); these observations have been taken as evidence that the enzymatic hydrolysis of  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosides and  $\beta$ -D-mannopyranosides proceed *via* different reactive conformations [3][6]. The high inhibitory selectivity of the isoquinuclidines 1 and 2 shows that bicyclic hydroxylated amines mimicking reactive conformations of  $\beta$ -D-glycopyranosides are attractive as potential glycosidase inhibitors.

In the context of a synthesis of epibatidine [10], a 7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane, *Corey et al.* reported the formation of a bicyclic azetidine **6**, a 6-azabicyclo[3.1.1]heptane in a yield of 85% upon treatment of the trifluoroacetamide **4** with NBS in AcOH [11] (*Scheme 1*). This transformation attracted our interest, since azetidines such as **7** are of interest as potential glycosidase inhibitors. The postulated transformation of **4** to **6** is remarkable. It postulates an *N*- rather than an *O*-alkylation of an amide by a *bona fide* epibromonium ion, and an *N*-alkylation leading to a four- rather than to a five-membered ring, *i.e.*, to a 6-azabicyclo[3.1.1]heptane rather than to a 7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane<sup>2</sup>). 6-Azabicyclo[3.1.1]heptanes and 7-azanorbornanes (= 7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes) are both of interest as potential glycosidase inhibitors.

6-Azabicyclo[3.1.1]heptane was prepared in low yield by cyclisation of *trans*-3-bromocyclohexylamine in NaOH/H<sub>2</sub>O [14]. 6-Azabicyclo[3.1.1]heptanes were also prepared by intramolecular nucleophilic substitution of a *trans*-3-bromocyclohexyl-

<sup>1)</sup> An attempt to mimic the axial orientation of the glycosidic bond in a distorted β-D-glucopyranoside by an iminosugar in the <sup>1</sup>C<sub>4</sub> conformation resulted in a weak (K<sub>i</sub> = 200 μm) inhibitor of the Cel7B endocellulase from *Humicola insolens* (family 7) [7], and 2,6-anhydro-1-deoxynojirimycin mimicking the <sup>2.5</sup>B conformation involved in the enzymatic hydrolysis of α-D-glucopyranosides [8] is a very weak inhibitor of several α- and β-glycosidases [9].

As a rule, electrophilic cyclisations of N-alkenylated amides involve the carbonyl O-atom and lead to oxazolines and dihydrooxazines [12]. In keeping with this rule, N-cyclohex-3-enylbenzamide cyclised to a bicyclic dihydro-1,3-oxazine upon treatment with NBS in AcOH [13]. The formation of cyclic amides by N-alkylation is preferred if O-alkylation would lead to a strained product, or if the NH group is deprotonated [12].

amine (83% yield) [15], a trans-3-[(methylsulfonyl)oxy]cyclohexylamine (22%) [16], and a trans-3-azidocyclohexanol (24%) [17]. 7-Azanorbornanes and 7-azanorbornenes were synthesised by Diels-Alder and 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions, by cyclisation of cyclohexylamines and pyrrolidines, and by ring contraction of tropinone derivatives [18-22]. The cycloadditions gave 7-azanorbornanes in a single step, but required high pressure [23][24] or particularly reactive dienophiles and dienes [25-32] to ensure sufficiently high yields; intramolecular substitution of cyclohexylamines remains a competitive route to 7-azanorbornanes [33 – 51]. Of particular interest for our purpose was the highly stereoselective bromination of the aminocyclohexene 4 to the dibromide 5 and its base-catalysed cyclisation [11]. Similarly, 2-exo-chloro-N-methyl-7-azanorbornane was synthesised by chlorination of N-methylcyclohex-3-enylamine, yielding a 1:1 mixture of the epimeric 3,4-trans-dichlorocyclohexylamines, followed by cyclisation of the 1,4-trans-isomer [52]. The only 7-azanorbornanes known to act as glycosidase inhibitors are both enantiomers of the amino diol 3. They were prepared by Vogel et al. as rigid analogues of 2-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidines, known glycosidase inhibitors [25], but proved weaker inhibitors than the conformationally flexible 2-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidines.

The potential of bicyclic amines to act as glycosidase inhibitors prompted us to examine the bromocyclisation and dibromination-cyclisation of the N-acylcyclohex-3-en-1-amines **8**, **9**, **11**, **13**, **14**, and **16** (*Scheme 2*) and the effect on the cyclisation of the nature of the N-acyl group, of a substituent at C(5), and of the brominating agent.

**Results and Discussion.** – The cyclohexenes **8** [53] [54] and **9** [55] were prepared by *Curtius* rearrangement of commercial cyclohex-3-enecarboxylic acid and treatment of the resulting isocyanate with *t*-BuOH and CuCl [56] or with CF<sub>3</sub>COOH [55]. This one-pot procedure yielded 92% of **8** and 84% of **9**<sup>3</sup>) (*Scheme* 2).

The racemic C(5)-substituted N-acylcyclohex-3-en-1-amines 11, 13, 14, and 16 were prepared from the monoester 10 [57] (*Scheme 2*). *Curtius* rearrangement of the monoacid 10 and treatment of the resulting isocyanate with t-BuOH and CuCl [56] gave the  $\beta$ -amino-acid derivative 11 (90%), which was reduced to the alcohol 12 (74%). Treating the dianion of 12 with 1.0 equiv. of BnBr (cf. [58–60]) yielded 95% of the benzyl ether 13 besides 5% of the dihydro-1,3-oxazin-2-one 15; the dianion was generated by adding 12 to a suspension of 2.0 equiv. of NaH in DMF. Inverse addition of 1.2 equiv. of NaH to 12 in DMF, followed by treatment with 1.5 equiv. of BnBr, gave 13 (55%), 15 (33%), and the N,O-dibenzyl derivative 16 (12%). The trifluoroacetamide 14 was obtained in a yield of 84% by deprotection of the carbamate 13 with CF<sub>3</sub>COOH, followed by acylation.

We first examined the transformation of the unsaturated trifluoroacetamides 9, 14, and 4 with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) in AcOH, *i.e.*, under the conditions described by *Corey et al.* [11], but at  $10^{\circ}$  rather than  $0^{\circ}$  to avoid freezing of AcOH (*Scheme 2*). Under these conditions, the trifluoroacetamide 9 reacted to give the dihydro-1,3-

<sup>3)</sup> The synthesis of 9 from cyclohex-3-enecarbonyl chloride required isolation of the reactive acyl azide and proceeded in a yield of 86% [55].

## Scheme 2 NHCOCF<sub>3</sub> NHBoo 8 b) a) c)\_ MeO<sub>2</sub>C MeO<sub>2</sub>C HOH<sub>2</sub>C l 6 NHBoc CO<sub>2</sub>H NHBoc 10 11 12 Bn NBoc Bn 15 16 13 R = Boc d) **14** R = COCF<sub>3</sub> CF<sub>3</sub> (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>Br NHCOCF<sub>3</sub> NHCOCF<sub>3</sub> NHCOCF<sub>3</sub> 17 9 18 19 ĊŁ3 BnO 6 Br OBn NHCOCF<sub>3</sub> NHCOCF<sub>3</sub> 20 21 14 22 CF<sub>3</sub>COO ОН NHCOCF<sub>3</sub> 6 Br 5 2 Br CI

*a*) Diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA), Et<sub>3</sub>N, toluene, then *t*-BuOH, CuCl; 90%. *b*) LiBH<sub>4</sub>, THF; 74%. *c*) Addition of **12** to NaH in DMF, then BnBr; 95% of **13**, 5% of **15** *or* **12** in DMF, then NaH and BnBr; 12% of **16**, 55% of **13**, 33% of **15**. *d*) CF<sub>3</sub>COOH, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (CF<sub>3</sub>CO)<sub>2</sub>O, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; 84%. *e*) *N*-Bromosuccinimide (NBS), THF; 31%. *f*) NBS, AcOH; 31% of **18**, 24% of **19**. *g*) **19**, NaH, THF; 28% of **20**, 33% of **21** *or* **19**, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, H<sub>2</sub>O; 89% of **21**. *h*) NBS, AcOH; 79%. *i*) NBS, AcOH; 81%. *j*) CF<sub>3</sub>COOH, THF, H<sub>2</sub>O; quant.

23

24

4

oxazine **18** (31%) and the bromo acetate **19** (24%)<sup>4</sup>). Treatment of **19** with NaH in THF gave the epoxide **20** (28%) and the dihydro-1,3-oxazine **21** (34%), which was obtained in higher yields (89%) by treatment of **19** with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O. Similarly, treatment of the trifluoroacetamide **14** with NBS in AcOH led to a dihydro-1,3-oxazine **22** that was readily isolated in a yield of 79%. Also the conformationally biased trifluoroacetamide **4** yielded 81% of the dihydro-1,3-oxazine **23** as a single product. Its <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data could not be distinguished from those reported by *Corey et al.* for their main product to which they assigned the structure **6**. Also the chemical-shift values for the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR signals of **23** (at 300 MHz) were indistinguishable from those reported by *Corey et al.* (NMR spectrum registered at 500 MHz). Coupling constants could not be compared as the resolution of the reported spectrum and the one registered by us appear to differ. To substantiate the contention that the cyclisation product possesses structure **23** rather than **6**, we hydrolysed the cyclisation product with aqueous CF<sub>3</sub>COOH in THF and obtained the trifluoroacetate **24** · CF<sub>3</sub>COOH in a nearly quantitative yield.

The coupling constants for the H-C(1) td of the carbamate  $\mathbf{11}$  (J(1,2) = J(1,2') = 6.2 Hz, J(1,6) = 3.1 Hz) evidence an equilibrium between the  ${}^{1}H_{6}$ ,  ${}^{6}H_{1}$ ,  ${}^{2.5}B$ , and  $B_{2.5}$  conformers<sup>5</sup>). The complexity of the NMR spectrum precluded a straightforward conformational analysis for 12-16.  $CH_2(4)$  of the dihydrooxazin-2-one 15 resonates as a t at 4.27 ppm (J=11.4 Hz) and as a ddd at 4.13 ppm (J=10.6, 4.7, 1.9 Hz) with the smallest coupling constant resulting from a W coupling with H-C(8a). Modelling shows that this is consistent only with a  $^{4a}H_{8a}$ conformation of the carbocycle. The MS of the bromo ether 17 shows the presence of two Br substituents. The C(3) d resonates at 78.01 ppm, the C(1) and C(4) ds resonate at 49.38 and 44.74 ppm, resp. The 4-bromobutoxy substituent is evidenced by the C(1') and C(4') t at 68.81 and 33.69 ppm, resp., and by two additional t in the region between 30.68 and 26.54 ppm. The small coupling constants for the H-C(3) q (3.2 Hz) and the H-C(4)q(3.1 Hz) indicate the preferred axial orientation of the C(3) and C(4) substituents. In keeping with the relative configuration, the large width  $(W_{1/2})$  of ca. 21 Hz for H–C(1) evidences that NHCOCF<sub>3</sub> is equatorial. The C(3) d of the bromo acetate 19 resonates at 71.96 ppm, and the C(1) and C(4) ds resonate at 47.53 and 44.75 ppm, resp. The coupling constants for the H-C(3) and H-C(4) q (both 3.4 Hz) and the  $W_{1/2}$  of the H-C(1) m of ca. 20 Hz, very similar to those of 17, evidence the same relative configuration of 17 and 19. The chemical-shift values for the H-C(3) m (3.26-3.23 ppm) and the H-C(4) td (3.18 ppm), and the C(3) and C(4) ds (51.98 and 50.80 ppm) of 20 are typical of epoxides. The configuration of 20 is not strictly established, but derived from its mode of formation. The MS of the dihydro-1,3-oxazines 18, 22, and 23 show the presence of only one Br substituent. The IR C=N bands of 18, 21, 22, and 23 at 1686, 1689, 1688, and 1688 cm<sup>-1</sup>, resp., are in agreement with the dihydro-1,3-oxazine structure (see [62][63] for the IR spectra of related dihydro-1,3-oxazines). Only 21 shows an OH band, and none of the dihydro-1,3-oxazines give rise to an NH band. The chemical shift for the C(1) d of 18, 21, 22, and 23 (73.8-74.5 ppm) evidences the dihydrooxazine and not the azetidine structure. These  $\delta$  values are similar to those for C(6) of 2-(trifluoromethyl)- (78.6 and 75.7 ppm [64]), 2-methyl-(72.26 ppm [62]), and 2-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-6H-[1,3]oxazines (74.2 ppm [65]). The chemical-shift values for the C(5) and C(8) ds of the bromo-1,3-oxazines 18, 22, and 23 are similar to each other (46.7-51.3 ppm) and were not assigned separately. The C(5) and C(8) ds of the hydroxy-1,3-oxazine 21 resonate at 46.94 and 67.39 ppm, resp. For the azetidine 6, one expects similar chemical-shift values for C(1) and C(5). 13C-NMR Chemical-shift values for similar azetidine trifluoroacetamides have not been reported. The C-N d of a tricyclic Nacetylazetidine resonate at 62.8 and 60.6 ppm [66]. Similarly, the C-N d of N-acetyl-5-azabicyclo[2.1.1]hexane resonates at 63.0 ppm [67]. The identical J(1.9) = J(5.9) values derived for the axial H-C(9) dt of 18, 21, 22, and 23 (1.5-1.6 Hz) are in keeping with the bicyclic structure. A W coupling between H-C(8) and the equatorial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>) NBS in THF transformed **9** mostly into the dibromo ether **17** (31%), resulting from solvent capture of the *bona fide* epibromonium ion.

Calculated coupling constants (Macromodel version 6.0, MM3\* force field [61]) for  ${}^{1}H_{6}$ : J(1,2) = 5.2, J(1,2') = 1.7, J(1,6) = 2.6; for  ${}^{6}H_{1}$ : J(1,2) = 4.7, J(1,2') = 11.9, J(1,6) = 2.1; for  ${}^{2.5}B$ : J(1,2) = 3.9, J(1,2') = 12.0 Hz, J(1,6) = 9.2 Hz); for  $B_{2.5}$ : J(1,2) = 4.2, J(1,2') = 2.2, J(1,6) = 9.0 Hz.

H-C(9) (1.6–1.9 Hz) evidences that the Br substituent of **18**, **22**, and **23** is axial. For **21**, the small J(7ax,8) of 3.4 Hz evidences the axial orientation of HO-C(8). The large J(6,7ax) values for **22** and **23** (12.1 and 10.3 Hz, resp.) evidence that the BnOCH<sub>2</sub> and the pyridyl groups are equatorial. C(1) of **24** · F<sub>3</sub>COOH resonates as a d at 68.14 ppm. The  $\delta$  values for the C(3) and C(6) ds are similar to each other (51.09 and 50.29 ppm) and were not assigned separately. The small vicinal couplings for H-C(1) (q, 3.4 Hz) and H-C(6) (qd, 3.4 Hz) evidence the axial orientation of the Br and OH substituents. The identical coupling constants J(1,2)=J(2,3)=3.4 Hz evidence the cis-configuration at C(1) and C(3). The large J(4,5ax) value of 12.1 Hz confirms the equatorial orientation of the pyridyl residue. The  ${}^1C_4$  conformation of the cyclohexane is corroborated by the vicinal coupling constants.

Not unexpectedly, bromination of the (trifluoroacetamido)cyclohexenes **9** and **14** under conditions favouring anchimeric assistance provided neither azetidines nor 7-azanorbornanes. We, therefore, examined the dibromination of the N-acylcyclohex-3-en-1-amines **8**, **9**, **11**, **13**, **14**, and **16**, followed by intramolecular substitution of one of the Br substituents (*Scheme 3*). For this, we compared three reaction conditions: bromination with 2 equiv. of Br<sub>2</sub> in the presence of 10 equiv. of Et<sub>4</sub>NBr (*cf.* [11]), bromination with 2 equiv. of PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>, and bromination with excess Br<sub>2</sub>. The crude products were analysed by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy; pure products were only isolated in a few cases.

Bromination of **8** and **9** led to a mixture of the epimeric 3,4-*trans*-dibromocarbamates **25/26** and dibromoamides **27/28**, respectively. The stereoselectivity of the bromination depended on the nature of the *N*-protecting group and on the reaction conditions (*Table 1*). Bromination of the trifluoroacetamide **9** showed a greater tendency to provide the 1,4-*trans*-isomer than bromination of the carbamate **8**, as seen by comparing *Entries 11* to 1, 12 to 2, 13 to 5, and 14 to 10. The highest 1,4-*trans/cis* ratios (86:14 and 85:15) resulted from treating **9** with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 0° (*Entry 13*) or with Et<sub>4</sub>NBr and Br<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at  $-78^{\circ}$  (*Entry 11*). The highest proportion of the 1,4-*trans*-isomer derived from **8** also resulted from bromination under these conditions (*Entries 1* and 5). Conversely, the lowest 1,4-*trans/cis*-ratio (<1:9,

Entry	Starting material	Reagents	Solvent	Temp.	25/26 or 27/28
1	8	Et <sub>4</sub> NBr, Br <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	− 78°	57:43
2	8	$\mathrm{Br}_2$	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	$-78^{\circ}$	17:83
3	8	$Br_2$	Et <sub>2</sub> O	$-78^{\circ}$	< 10:90
4	8	$\mathrm{Br}_2$	$Et_2O$	$0^{\circ}$	14:86
5	8	PhMe <sub>3</sub> NBr <sub>3</sub>	$CH_2Cl_2$	$0^{\circ}$	43:57
6	8	PhMe <sub>3</sub> NBr <sub>3</sub>	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	$0^{\circ}$	37:73
7	8	PhMe <sub>3</sub> NBr <sub>3</sub>	$Et_2O$	$0^{\circ}$	14:86
8	8	PhMe <sub>3</sub> NBr <sub>3</sub>	cyclohexane	r.t.	17:83
9	8	PhMe <sub>3</sub> NBr <sub>3</sub>	toluene	r.t.	25:75
10	8	PhMe <sub>3</sub> NBr <sub>3</sub>	MeCN	$0^{\circ}$	ca. 40:60
11	9	Et <sub>4</sub> NBr, Br <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	$-78^{\circ}$	85:15
12	9	$\mathrm{Br}_2$	$CH_2Cl_2$	$-78^{\circ}$	23:77
13	9	PhMe <sub>3</sub> NBr <sub>3</sub>	$CH_2Cl_2$	0°	86:14
14	9	PhMe <sub>3</sub> NBr <sub>3</sub>	MeCN	$0^{\circ}$	78:22

Table 1. Stereoselectivity of the Dibromination of the Alkenes 8 and 9a)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>) Conditions: 2 equiv. of Br<sub>2</sub>. For Et<sub>4</sub>NBr and Br<sub>2</sub>, see the *Exper. Part* (a). For PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>, see the *Exper. Part* (b).

### Scheme 3

*a*) See *Table 1. b*) Et<sub>4</sub>NBr, Me<sub>3</sub>PhNBr<sub>3</sub>; 84% of **29**, 6% of **30** *or* Br<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; 28% of **29**, 30% of **30**, 36% of **31**. *c*) PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; 46% of **32**, 49% of **34** *or* Br<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; 18% of **32**. 6% of **33**, 32% of **35**, 43% of **34** *or* Et<sub>4</sub>NBr, PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; 82% of **32**. *d*) Et<sub>4</sub>NBr, PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; 89% of **36** *or* Br<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; 19% of **22**, 42% of **36**, 32% of **37**. *e*) Br<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; 26% of **39**, 32% of **38**.

Entry 3) resulted from treating **8** with  $Br_2$  in  $Et_2O$  at  $0^\circ$ . Among the conditions that were used for the dibromination of both **8** and **9**,  $Br_2$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$  led to the highest proportion of the 1,4-cis-isomer (Entries 2 and 12; 17:83 and 23:77, resp.). On a preparative scale (10 g), bromination of **9** with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> in  $CH_2Cl_2$ , followed by chromatography, led to 79% of **27** and 15% of **28**.

Bromination of the protected  $\beta$ -acylamino ester **11** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with 2 equiv. of PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> in the presence of 10 equiv. of Et<sub>4</sub>NBr gave the *trans*-dibromides **29** (84%)

and **30** (6%). Br<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> led to lower yields of **29** (28%) and **30** (30%), and also yielded 36% of the dihydrooxazin-2-one **31**, presumably resulting from interception of the epibromonium ion<sup>6</sup>).

Bromination of the benzyloxylated carbamate 13 with 2 equiv. of PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> in  $CH_2Cl_2$  gave the dibromide 32 (46%) and the dihydrooxazin-2-one 34 (49%). A yield of 82% of 32 resulted upon treating a more highly concentrated solution of 13 with 2 equiv. of PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> in the presence of 10 equiv. of  $Et_4$ NBr. Bromination with  $Br_2$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$  yielded the *trans*-dibromides 32 (18%) and 33 (6%), the dihydrooxazin-2-one 34 (43%), and the bicyclic ether 35 (32%). No conditions were found to produce predominantly the dibromide 33.

Bromination of the analogous trifluoroacetamide **14** with 2 equiv. of PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> and 10 equiv. of Et<sub>4</sub>NBr in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> gave the *trans*-dibromide **36** as the only product in a yield of 89%, while bromination with Br<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> led to the *trans*-dibromides **36** (42%) and **37** (32%) and to the dihydro-1,3-oxazine **22** (19%).

Finally, bromination of the *N*-benzylated carbamate **16** with Br<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> gave the dihydrooxazin-2-one **38** (32%) and the bicyclic ether **39** (26%). According to TLC, bromination of **16** with 2 equiv. of PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> and 10 equiv. of Et<sub>4</sub>NBr in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> gave **38** as the main product; **39** was not detected.

The results of the bromination of the cyclohex-3-en-1-amines  $^7$ ) **8**, **9**, **11**, **13**, **14**, and **16** depend on the structure of the starting material and on the reaction conditions. Similarly as observed in the bromination of **8** and **9**, the C(6)-substituted trifluoroacetamide **14** led to a higher proportion of the 1,4-trans-configured product than the C(6)-substituted carbamate **13**, particularly with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>/Et<sub>4</sub>NBr as brominating agent. Replacing the BnOCH<sub>2</sub> by the MeOCO group, as in **11**, also favoured the 1,4-trans-isomer with a 1,3-cis-relation between the N-substituent and Br. A dihydroox-azinone was obtained from **11** only upon bromination with Br<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, while **13** led to the dihydrooxazinone **34** also upon bromination with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>. The N-benzylated carbamate **16**, lacking a N-H bond, did not form a dibromo compound; main products resulting from the action of Br<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were the dihydrooxazinone **38** and the oxolane **39**<sup>8</sup>). The related oxolane **35** resulted from **13** under similar reaction conditions.

These observations suggest a different reaction mechanism for the bromination by  $PhMe_3NBr_3$  and  $Et_4NBr$  and by  $Br_2$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$ , as it is known from kinetic studies of brominations with  $Br_2$  and  $Br_3^-$  (see [68–71] and refs. cit. therein). With  $Br_2$ , the rate-limiting ionisation of a 2:1  $\pi$ -complex between  $Br_2$  and the alkene leads to an epibromonium tribromide ion pair, which rapidly collapses to the dibromide and  $Br_2$ . Bromination with tribromides<sup>9</sup>) is characterised by a rate-limiting nucleophilic attack of  $Br^-$  on a 1:1  $\pi$ -complex between  $Br_2$  and the alkene, leading to the dibromide and  $Br^-$  without proceeding through an intermediate. The formation of the oxolanes 35 and

For a related bromo-carbamoylation, see [53].

For a report on the dependence of the stereoselectivity of the dibromination and bromohydroxylation of 3a,4,5,7a-tetrahydro-3H-benzoxazol-2-one (allylic C,O bond) upon the N-protecting group and the reaction conditions, see [51].

A similar formation of bicylic products in the bromination of 8 and 9 cannot be excluded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>) Br<sub>3</sub> predominates in the equilibrium between Br<sub>2</sub> and Br<sup>-</sup>:  $K = 2 \times 10^7$  l/mol (1,2-dichloroethane);  $K = 1.2 \times 10^5$  l/mol (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) (see [69] and refs. cit. therein).

**39** and of the dihydro-1,3-oxazine **22** upon bromination by  $Br_2$ , but not by  $Br_3^-$ , correlates with the higher reactivity of an epibromonium ion as compared to a  $Br_2$ -alkene  $\pi$ -complex [72]. Preparatively significant is the addition of excess  $Br^-$  in the PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> bromination, leading to higher yields of the 1,4-*trans*-products (84% of **29** from **11**, 82% of **32** from **13**, and 89% of **36** from **14**).

The 1,4-cis-dibromides 26 and 28 are almost certainly formed by diaxial bromination of the pseudoequatorial conformer of 8 and 9, and the 1,4-transconfigured 25 and 27 must result from the diaxial bromination of the pseudoaxial conformer<sup>10</sup>) of **8** and **9**, suggesting an anchimeric assistance of the NHR group. The increased yield of 25 and the selective formation of 27 resulting from the bromination with Br<sub>3</sub> suggest that the NHBoc and NHCOCF<sub>3</sub> substituents act as H-bond donor to Br<sup>-</sup> acting as nucleophile [74] or as leaving group [68] [70] [75–77], or to Br $_3$  [78] [79]. The higher proportion of the isomers with a 1,3-cis-relation between the N-substituent and proximal Br resulting from bromination of the trifluoroacetamides indeed correlates with the better H-bond-donating properties of the more highly acidic CF<sub>3</sub>CONH group. This explanation suggests that particularly favourable H-bonds are formed between the pseudoaxial N-substituents of 8, 9, 11, 13, and 14 and PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub>, a complexation that is perhaps assisted by a simultaneous interaction with the MeOCO group in the case of 11. The  $N-H \cdots Br_3^-$  H-bond is expected to promote the liberation of Br<sub>2</sub> and lead to a pseudointramolecular bromination, in keeping with the observation that bromination of 16, lacking an N-H bond, does not lead to dibromides. It is also conceivable that the formation of 25 and 27 is favoured by intramolecular delivery of H-bonded Br<sup>-</sup> to the  $\pi$ -complex resulting from attack of Br<sub>2</sub> on the cyclohexene from the side opposite to the *N*-substituent.

We next studied the transformation of the 1,3-cis-substituted dibromides 25, 27, 29, and 32 into 7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes, and the transformation of the 1,3-trans-substituted dibromides 26, 30, and 37 into 6-azabicyclo[3.1.1]heptanes, i.e., the corresponding azetidines. Elimination of HBr from the cyclised monobromo compounds, followed by dihydroxylation, should lead to potential glycosidase inhibitors.

Deacylation of the carbamates **25** and **26** with CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, followed by base treatment, gave the crude amines **40** and **46**, respectively, in very high yield (*Scheme 4*). Prolonged heating of **40** in CHCl<sub>3</sub> in the presence of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> gave the crude azanorbornane **41**, which was carbamoylated to **42** (83% from **25**); **42** was similarly prepared on a 10-g scale from **27** by hydrolysis (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O), cyclisation, and carbamoylation (93%). Base-catalysed elimination of HBr (*t*-BuOK in THF) transformed **42** into the known azanorbornene **43** [80] (87%). Dihydroxylation (OsO<sub>4</sub>, *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide monohydrate (NMO); *cf.* [81]) of **43** provided the diol **44** that was isolated in 82% yield after chromatography and in 56% by crystallisation. Deprotection of the dihydroxycarbamate **44** yielded 97% of the ammonium salt **45**·HCl.

<sup>(10)</sup> Similarly, the syn-selectivity of the cis-dihydroxylation of (trifluoroacetamido)cyclohexenes was rationalised by a neighbouring group participation in the conformer with a pseudoaxial NHCOCF<sub>3</sub> substituent [73].

#### Scheme 4

The amine **46** did not cyclise to a 6-azabicyclo[3.1.1]heptane, *i.e.*, an azetidine. It did not react with  $K_2CO_3$  in boiling CHCl<sub>3</sub> or in 1,3-dichlorobenzene up to  $120^{\circ}11$ ); at  $130^{\circ}$ , a product formed, which, upon carbamoylation, gave **42** (62%). Presumably, at this temperature, the diaxial dibromide **46** rearranged to the diequatorial **40** (*cf.* [82][83]), which cyclised to the azanorbornane **41**.

Hydrolysis of the carbamates **29** and **30** with  $CF_3COOH$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$ , followed by treatment with base, gave the amines **47** and **50**, respectively. Treating **47** with  $K_2CO_3$  in boiling  $CHCl_3$  gave the azanorbornane **48**, which was *N*-butoxycarbonylated to **49** (62% from **47**). We had hoped that the amine **50**, adopting a conformation with an axial *N*-substituent and equatorial Br (*vide infra*), would be more prone to cyclise to an azetidine than the amine **46**. However,  $K_2CO_3$  in boiling  $CHCl_3$  did not affect **50**, nor was any reaction observed upon heating **50** in the presence of  $K_2CO_3$  in 1,3-dichlorobenzene up to  $100^\circ$ . At  $120^\circ$ , a slow conversion to a product was observed by TLC; carbamoylation of the product afforded the 7-azanorbornane **49** (21%); *i.e.*, **50** behaved similarly to **46**.

Elimination of the Boc group of **32** gave the amine **51**. According to the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum, it partially cyclised to the azanorbornane **52** during isolation. The cyclisation was completed by boiling a solution of the mixture **51/52** in CHCl<sub>3</sub> in the presence of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The resulting 6-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane **52** was carbamoylated to **53** (84% from **32**). Elimination of HBr with *t*-BuOK in THF transformed **53** into the azanorbornene **54** (92%), which was dihydroxylated (OsO<sub>4</sub>, NMO [81]) to the diol **55** (81%). Hydrogenolytic debenzylation of **55**, followed by acidolytic deacylation, gave the azanorbornane **57** ·HCl in *ca.* 60% yield.

Attempted hydrolysis ( $K_2CO_3$ , MeOH/ $H_2O$ ) of the amide **37** led to the dihydro-1,3-oxazine **58**. A similar formation of a dihydro-1,3-oxazine upon treatment of 'N-(3-trans-4-cis-dibromocyclohexyl)benzamide' with AgOAc in AcOH was reported by Della and Jefferies [13].

The MS of the dihydrooxazin-2-ones **31**, **34**, and **38** show the presence of only one Br substituent. Strong IR bands at 1715, 1715, and 1687 cm<sup>-1</sup>, resp., evidence the NHCOO group. Signals for a *t*-Bu group were missing from the NMR spectra. The chemical-shift values for the C(1) d of **34** (75.49 ppm) and **38** (76.03 ppm; no <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum for **31**) evidence that C(1) is bound to O.  $J(1,9_{ax}) = 1.6$  Hz and  $J(5,9_{ax}) = 2.2$  Hz are in agreement with the bicyclic structure. A W coupling (1.6 Hz) is observed between NH and the axial H–C(9) of **31** and **34**. A W coupling between H–C(8) and the equatorial H–C(9) (1.6 Hz for **31**, **34**, and **38**) evidences an axial Br substituent. The large J(6,7ax) value (10.9 Hz for **31**, 12.1 Hz for **38**; not determined for **34** due to the complexity of the spectrum) evidences the equatorial MeOCO and BnOCH<sub>2</sub> groups.

The MS of the bicyclic oxolanes **35** and **39** show the presence of only one Br substituent. According to the NMR spectra, **35** and **39** are devoid of *O*-Bn groups. The chemical-shift values for the C(5) d of **35** (77.11 ppm) and **39** (77.23 ppm), and J(7a,7b) = 8.7 Hz evidence the oxolane moiety. J(2,3eq) (5.5 and 4.8 Hz, resp.), J(2,3ax) (12.1 and 13.2 Hz, resp.), J(3eq,4) (0 Hz), and J(3ax,4) (5.3 and 5.1 Hz, resp.) values evidence an equatorial C(2)-carbamoyl and an axial Br-C(4) moiety, and a chair conformation of the cyclohexane ring. A W coupling between H-C(4) and the equatorial H-C(8) (1.2 and 1.6 Hz, resp.) corroborates the axial orientation of the Br substituent. The bridgehead H-C(1) couples with  $H_{exo}$ -C(7) (4.0 and 4.1 Hz, resp.) but not with  $H_{endo}$ -C(7), similarly to levoglucosans. The bridgehead H-C(1) and H-C(5) do not couple with the axial H-C(8), but with the equatorial H-C(8) (5.6 Hz).

<sup>11)</sup> Similarly, heating t-3,c-4-dichloro-N-methylcyclohexylamine in DMF at temperatures up to 100° did not lead to cyclisation, and harsher conditions led to elimination [52].

The configuration and conformation of the dibromides 25-28, 40, and 46 were deduced from their  $^{1}$ H-NMR spectra. In these bromides, the N-substituent is equatorial, as evidenced by the large J(1,2ax) and J(1,6ax) values of 26-28, 40, and 46, and by the large  $W_{1/2}$  value of ca. 20 Hz for the H-C(1) m of 25. The small  $W_{1/2}$  values for the H-C(3) and H-C(4) m of the dibromides 26, 28, and 46 evidence an axial orientation of the Br-atoms. This is corroborated by a small J(4,5ax) value (3.1-3.4 Hz). The large  $W_{1/2}$  value of the H-C(3) and H-C(4) m of the dibromide 27 and the large J(3,4) values of 25 and 40 (9.3 and 10.6 Hz, resp.) evidence the equatorial orientation of the Br-atoms. The large J(2,3ax) = J(3ax,4) = 9.0 Hz, and J(5,6ax) = 9.3 Hz for **29** evidence an equatorial orientation of BocNH-C(2), Br-C(4), and Br-C(5). The small vicinal coupling J(1,6) = 4.7 Hz evidences the axial orientation of the MeOCO group. The ring adopts a  ${}^{1}C_{4}$  conformation. The similar coupling pattern for H-C(6) and H'-C(6) of the amine 47 resonating as ddd at 2.77 (J=14.8, 7.6,3.7 Hz) and 2.13 ppm (J = 14.8, 8.8, 4.4 Hz), and the values of the coupling constants evidence an equilibrium of the two chair conformers. The identical J(1,6) = J(5,6) = 4.4 Hz and J(1,6') = J(5,6') = 7.1 - 7.3 Hz of the dibromides 32 and 36 evidence the 1,5-cis-configuration. The identical coupling pattern for the H-C(3) and H'-C(3) ddd resonating at 2.34 (J=14.6, 7.6, 3.6 Hz) and 2.08 ppm (J=14.6, 7.6, 4.0 Hz) (for 32), and at 2.46 (J = 14.3, 8.3, 3.6 Hz) and 2.06 ppm (J = 13.7, 7.8, 2.8 Hz) (for 36), and the coupling constants evidence the 2,4trans-configuration and an equilibrium of the two chair conformers. The complexity of the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of **30** precluded a straightforward conformational analysis. The large J(3ax,4) = 11.2 Hz and J(4,5) = 9.8 Hz, and the small J(2,3ax) = 3.1 Hz of the amine **50** evidence the equatorial orientation of Br-C(4) and Br-C(5), the axial orientation of  $H_2N-C(2)$ , and a  ${}^4C_1$  conformation. Similarly, J(2,3ax)=J(3ax,4)=9.7 Hz and J(5,6ax)=110 Hz of 37 evidence an equatorial orientation of BnOCH<sub>2</sub>-C(2), Br-C(4), and Br-C(5), and a  ${}^{1}C_{4}$ conformation. The small J(1,6ax) = 3.6 Hz evidences an axial NHCOCF<sub>3</sub>. The structure of a diastereoisomer of 32 was tentatively assigned to 33, assuming that only trans-dibromides are obtained.

The *exo*-orientation of Br-C(2) of the azanorbornanes **41** and **42** is evidenced by the absence of a coupling between H-C(2) and H-C(1) [84]. Similarly, H-C(2) and H-C(3) of the *meso*-diols **44** and **45** ·HCl do not couple with H-C(1) and H-C(4), resp. The *exo*-orientation of the substituents at C(2) and C(5) of the azanorbornanes **48**, **49**, **52**, and **53**, and of the substituents at C(2), C(3), and C(5) of **56** and **57** ·HCl is similarly evidenced. H-C(2) and H-C(3) of the azanorbornane **54** resonate as a br. *d* at 6.29 ppm. The H-C(5) *m* at 1.90-1.79 ppm precluded a straightforward assignment of the configuration at C(5); also the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of the diol **55** was too complex. The triol **56** formed a gel in CDCl<sub>3</sub>; the NMR spectra were recorded of solutions in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.

The C=N band in the IR spectrum (in CHCl<sub>3</sub>) of the dihydro-1,3-oxazine **58** (1689 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the chemical-shift values for C(1), C(5), and C(8) (74.99, 50.15, and 46.50 ppm, resp.) are similar to the corresponding values for the dihydro-1,3-oxazines **18**, **22**, and **23**. H–C(8) of **58** resonates as a *ddd* at 4.16 ppm. The large coupling constant J(7ax,8) = J(6,7ax) = 12.5 Hz evidences the equatorial Br–C(8) and BnOCH<sub>2</sub>–C(6). H<sub>ax</sub>–C(9) of the dihydro-1,3-oxazines **18**, **22**, and **23** is shifted downfield (2.26–2.74 ppm) due to the axial Br–C(8) as compared to H<sub>ax</sub>–C(9) of the equatorially brominated **58** ( $\delta$ =1.86 ppm). The geminal J(7eq,7ax) of the axially brominated dihydro-1,3-oxazine **22** is larger (15.9 Hz) than J(7eq,7ax) for the equatorially brominated **58** (14.0 Hz), where the *gauche*-relation of Br–C(6) and both H–(7) lead to a decreased  $^2J$  value (*cf.* [85][86]).

Inhibition Studies. The azanorbornanes  $45 \cdot \text{HCl}$  and  $57 \cdot \text{HCl}$  were tested against snail  $\beta$ -mannosidase, the  $\alpha$ -mannosidase from Jack beans (family 38) (both at pH 4.5), the  $\beta$ -glucosidases from sweet almonds (family 1), the  $\beta$ -glucosidase from Caldocellum saccharolyticum (family 1), and the  $\alpha$ -glucosidase from brewer's yeast (family 13) (all three at pH 6.8; Table 2), and proved at best weak inhibitors of these glycosidases. The weak selectivity is surprising; considering the weak inhibition, an interpretation may not be meaningful.

We thank the Swiss National Science Foundation and F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, for generous support and Dr. B. Bernet for checking the manuscript.

Table 2. Inhibition of Glycosidases by the Azanorbornanes 45 · HCl and 57 · HCl ( $IC_{50}$  values in mm)

	<b>45</b> · HCl	<b>57</b> · HCl
β-Mannosidase (snail)	no inh. at 2 mм	ca. 25% inh. at 2.5 mм
α-Mannosidase (Jack beans)	no inh. at 2 mм	0.55
$\beta$ -Glucosidases (almonds)	1.74	2.05
$\beta$ -Glucosidase ( <i>C. saccharolyticum</i> )	2.2	1.95
α-Glucosidase (brewer's yeast)	ca. 10% inh. at 1.6 mm	no inh. at 2 mм

#### **Experimental Part**

General. Solvents were freshly distilled from CaH $_2$  (CH $_2$ Cl $_2$ , MeOH, Et $_3$ N) and Na/benzophenone (THF, toluene). For large-scale operations, toluene was dried by standing over molecular sieves (4 Å), and CHCl $_3$  was dried by filtration through Al $_2$ O $_3$ . All reactions were carried out under Ar, unless stated otherwise. Anal. TLC: Merck precoated silica-gel 60 F-254 plates; detection by treatment with a 1% soln. of KMnO $_4$  in a 6% aq. K $_2$ CO $_3$  soln.; NH $_4$ OH = 25% aq. NH $_3$  soln. Flash chromatography (FC): silica gel 60 (40–63  $\mu$ m). M.p.: uncorrected. FT-IR Spectra: absorption in cm $^{-1}$ . NMR Spectra: chemical shifts in ppm relative to TMS; coupling constants in Hz. FAB-MS: in 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol (NOBA) matrix. HR-MALDI-MS: in 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) matrix.

tert-*Butyl* N-(*Cyclohex-3-enyl*)*carbamate* (**8**) [53] [54]. At r.t., a soln. of cyclohex-3-enecarboxylic acid (2 g, 1.85 ml, 15.85 mmol) in toluene (50 ml) was treated with Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.43 ml, 17.44 mmol) and diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA; 3.59 ml, 16.65 mmol), stirred for 30 min, slowly warmed to  $80^{\circ}$ , and stirred under reflux for 3 h (IR control). After cooling to r.t., the mixture was treated with *t*-BuOH (7.44 ml, 79.3 mmol) and CuCl (50 mg, 0.51 mmol), and stirred at  $100^{\circ}$  for 2 h. The mixture was cooled, diluted with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (100 ml), and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 100 ml). The org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (50 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 12:1) gave **8** (2.88 g, 92%). Colourless crystals.  $R_1$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.71. M.p.  $52-54^{\circ}$ . H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.71-5.63, 5.63-5.55 (2m, H-C(3), H-C(4)); 4.59-4.49 (m, NH); 3.84-3.70 (m, H-C(1)); 2.43-2.32 (m, 1 H); 2.17-2.08 (m, 2 H); 1.92-1.79 (m, 2 H); 1.57-1.49 (m, 1 H); 1.45 (s, *t*-Bu). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 127.21, 124.75 (2d, C(3), C(4)); 79.21 (s, Me<sub>3</sub>C); 45.77 (d, C(1)); 32.15 (d); 28.49 (d),  $Me_3$ C): 23.67 (d, 2).

N-(*Cyclohex-3-enyl*)-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (9) [55]. At r.t., a soln. of cyclohex-3-enecarboxylic acid (10 g, 9.3 ml, 79.3 mmol) in toluene (250 ml) was treated with Et<sub>3</sub>N (13 ml, 95 mmol) and DPPA (17.9 ml, 83.2 mmol), stirred for 30 min, slowly heated to  $80^{\circ}$ , and stirred under reflux for 5 h (IR control). After cooling to r.t., the mixture was treated with CF<sub>3</sub>COOH (15.2 ml, 119 mmol) and stirred at  $80^{\circ}$  for 16 h. After cooling to r.t., the soln. was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (2 × 400 ml), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (60 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 12:1) gave 9 (12.91 g, 84%). Colourless crystals.  $R_t$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.70. M.p.  $59-60^{\circ}$  ([55]:  $62-63^{\circ}$ ). FT-IR (1.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3428m (NH), 3008w, 2926w, 2845w, 1723s (C=O), 1532m, 1439w, 1372w, 1337w, 1290m, 1171s, 1045w, 940w, 865w.  $^{1}$ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.28-6.14 (m, NH, exch. with D<sub>2</sub>O); 5.79-5.71, 5.67-5.59 (2m, H-C(3), H-C(4)); 4.25-4.13 (m, H-C(1)); 2.52-2.40 (br. d,  $J\approx 17.7$ , 1 H); 2.27-2.07 (m, 2 H); 2.04-1.86 (m, 2 H); 1.77-1.64 (m, 1 H).  $1.^{3}$ C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 127.49, 123.73 (2d, C(3), C(4)); 45.55 (d, C(1)); 30.95, 27.17, 22.93 (3t, C(2), C(5), C(6)).  $1.^{9}$ F-NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -75.75 (s).

*Methyl cis-6-[[*(tert-*Butoxy*)*carbonyl]amino]-cyclohex-3-enecarboxylate* (11). A soln. of 10 (25 g, 136 mmol) in toluene (450 ml) was treated with Et<sub>3</sub>N (22.7 ml, 163 mmol) and DPPA (30.7 ml, 143 mmol), heated slowly to 80°, kept at this temp. until N<sub>2</sub> evolution ceased, and refluxed for 200 min. After cooling to r.t., the mixture was treated with *t*-BuOH (64 ml, 678 mmol) and CuCl (500 mg, 5.1 mmol), and stirred at 100° for 15 h. The mixture was cooled and washed with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (2 × 400 ml). The aq. phases were extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 400 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (230 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 9:1) gave 11 (31.4 g, 90%) as a slightly yellow oil, which crystallised upon standing at −20°.  $R_f$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.52. M.p. 51.8−55.5°. FT-IR (1%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3442w (NH), 3019m, 2982w, 1708s (C=O), 1501s, 1438m, 1393w, 1368m, 1305m, 1066w, 850w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.67 (*dtd*, J = 10.3, 3.1, 1.6), 5.60 (*dtd*, J = 10.3, 3.1, 1.6) (H−C(3), H−C(4)); 5.14 (br. d, J = 9.0, NH); 4.23−4.14 (m, H−C(6)); 3.69 (s, MeO); 2.80 (td, J = 6.2, 3.1, H−C(1)); 2.57−2.46 (br. d, J ≈ 18, H−C(2)); 2.42−2.25

 $\begin{array}{l} (\textit{m}, \textit{H}'-\textit{C}(2), \textit{H}-\textit{C}(5)); 2.22-2.10 \ (\text{br.}\ \textit{d}, \textit{J} \approx 18, \textit{H}'-\textit{C}(5)); 1.43 \ (\textit{s}, \textit{t}-\textit{Bu}). \ ^{13}\text{C-NMR} \ (75 \ \text{MHz}, \textit{CDCl}_3); 173.78 \\ (\textit{s}, \textit{MeOCO}); 155.17 \ (\textit{s}, \textit{t}-\textit{BuOCO}); 124.85, 124.68 \ (2\textit{d}, \textit{C}(3), \textit{C}(4)); 79.30 \ (\textit{s}, \textit{Me}_3\textit{C}); 51.92 \ (\textit{q}, \textit{MeO}); 46.21 \\ (\textit{d}, \textit{C}(1)); 42.18 \ (\textit{d}, \textit{C}(6)); 30.81 \ (\textit{t}); 28.46 \ (\textit{q}, \textit{Me}_3\textit{C}); 25.45 \ (\textit{t}). \ \textit{EI-MS}: 255 \ (1, \textit{M}^+), 201 \ (7, [\textit{M}-\textit{C}_4\textit{H}_6]^+), 199 \ (9, [\textit{M}-\textit{C}_4\textit{H}_8]^+), 182 \ (13), 168 \ (14), 155 \ (18, [\textit{M}-\textit{C}_4\textit{H}_8-\textit{CO}_2]^+), 150 \ (16), 145 \ (14, [\textit{M}-\textit{C}_4\textit{H}_8-\textit{C}_4\textit{H}_6]^+), 142 \\ (8), 138 \ (61), 101 \ (87, [\textit{M}-\textit{C}_4\textit{H}_8-\textit{C}_4\textit{H}_6-\textit{CO}_2]^+). \ \textit{Anal. calc. for} \ \textit{C}_{13}\textit{H}_{21}\textit{NO}_4 \ (255.31): \textit{C} \ 61.16, \textit{H} \ 8.29, \textit{N} \ 5.49; \\ \textit{found:} \ \textit{C} \ 61.06, \ \textit{H} \ 8.20, \ \textit{N} \ 5.41. \\ \end{array}$ 

tert-Butyl N-[cis-6-(Hydroxymethyl)cyclohex-3-enyl]carbamate (12). A cold (0°) soln. of 11 (27.6 g, 108 mmol) in THF (350 ml) was treated with LiBH<sub>4</sub> (3.5 g, 162 mmol) and stirred at r.t. for 19 h. After cooling to 0°, the mixture was treated with sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl soln. (40 ml) and diluted with AcOEt (400 ml). The org. phase was separated, washed with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (2 × 400 ml), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (125 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) gave 12 (18.3 g, 74%). Colourless crystals.  $R_t$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.26. M.p. 105.0 – 105.9°. FT-IR (0.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3611w, 3435w, 2983w, 1684w (C=O), 1502s, 1368w, 1062s, 921w, 843s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.70 (br. s, s, s) (br. s, s) (br. s) 4.84 (ds, s) 10.0 (H–C(3), H–C(4)); 4.74 (d, s) 8.4, NH); 4.22 – 4.15 (s) (s) H–C(1)); 4.15 – 3.85 (br. s) OH); 3.48 (ds, s) 12.1, 4.7), 3.22 (s) (s) H–C(6)); 1.66 – 1.51 (s) (s) 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 157.26 (s) C=O); 126.45, 123.49 (2s, C(3), C(4)); 79.89 (s, Me<sub>3</sub>C); 63.57 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>OH); 43.26 (s, C(1)); 38.90 (s, (C(6)); 31.16 (s, C(5)); 28.39 (s, s) (s) 23.40 (s) (s) EI-MS: 227 (0.1, s) s) 17 (1, [s) H–C(2=O]+), 173 (20, [s) s (s) 17 (20, [s) – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub>]+), 154 (16), 153 (13, [s) s (s) 4.9 (7), 141 (6), 127 (7, [s) – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> – C<sub>0</sub>]+), 117 (5s, [s) (s) (s) 4.9 (27, [s) – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub> – H<sub>2</sub>O]+), 310 (50, 99 (27, [s) – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub> – H<sub>2</sub>O]+), 310 (50, 91); 73 (68, [s) – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub> – C<sub>0</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+). Anal. calc. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (22730): C 63.41, H 9.31, N 6.16; found: C 63.60, H 9.16, N 6.11.

tert-Butyl N-{cis-6-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]cyclohex-3-enyl}carbamate (13), cis-1-Benzyl-1,4,4a,5,8,8a-hexa-hydrobenzo[d][1.3]oxazin-2-one (15), and tert-Butyl N-benzyl-N-{cis-6-[(benzyloxy)methyl]cyclohex-3-enyl}carbamate (16). a) A cold ( $-30^{\circ}$ ) suspension of NaH (6.19 g of a 60% suspension in oil, 155 mmol) in DMF (225 ml) was treated dropwise with a soln. of 12 (17.6 g, 77.4 mmol) in DMF (50 ml), warmed to  $-20^{\circ}$ , treated dropwise with BnBr (9.19 ml, 77.4 mmol), and stirred for 45 min. After treatment with MeOH (9 ml), the mixture was stirred at  $-30^{\circ}$  for 30 min. and diluted with AcOEt (1000 ml). The org. phase was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $2 \times 500$  ml), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (27.3 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 9:1 $\rightarrow$ 3:2) gave 13 (23.5 g, 95%) as a colourless oil, which crystallized upon standing, and 15 (980 mg, 5%) as a yellow oil, which crystallized upon standing.

b) A cold  $(0^{\circ})$  soln. of 12 (380 mg, 1.67 mmol) in DMF (5 ml) was treated with NaH (88 mg of a 55% suspension in oil, 2.00 mmol), stirred for 30 min at  $0^{\circ}$ , treated dropwise with BnBr (0.30 ml, 2.51 mmol), stirred for 5 min, allowed to warm to r.t., and stirred for 19 h. The mixture was cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ , treated with MeOH (0.15 ml), stirred at  $0^{\circ}$  for 30 min, diluted with AcOEt (50 ml), and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 30 ml). The org. phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (60 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 10:1) gave 16 (85 mg, 12%, colourless oil) and 13 (293 mg, 55%, colourless oil, which crystallised upon standing). Elution of the column with MeOH gave 15 (134 mg, 33%, yellow oil).

Data of 13:  $R_f$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.66. M.p. 62.8−65.8°. FT-IR (3%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3438m (NH), 3090w, 3067w, 3020m, 2981m, 2922m, 2866m, 1706s (C=O), 1501s, 1455m, 1392m, 1367s, 1337w, 1303w, 1118m, 1075m, 1029w, 1001w, 968w, 941w, 909w, 875w, 844w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.37−7.25 (5 arom. H); 5.67−5.54 (m, H−C(3), H−C(4)); 5.20 (d, J = 8.4, NH); 4.54, 4.48 (2d, J = 11.8, PhC $H_2$ ); 4.10−4.01 (m, H−C(1)); 3.58 (t, J ≈ 8.7, CH−C(6)); 3.36 (dd, J = 9.3, 5.6, CH′−C(6)); 2.43−2.19 (m, 3 H); 2.08−1.98 (m, 1 H); 1.85−1.75 (m, 1 H); 1.44 (s, t-Bu). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 155.56 (s, C=O); 138.23 (s); 128.28 (d, 2 C); 127.52 (d, 2 C); 127.47 (d); 125.55, 124.59 (2d, C(3), C(4)); 78.84 (s, Me<sub>3</sub>C); 73.36, 72.05 (2t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>−C(6)); 46.41 (d, C(1)); 36.48 (d, C(6)); 30.97 (t, C(5)); 28.55 (q, d m<sub>2</sub>C); 26.33 (t, C(2)). ESI-MS: 657 (14, [2d + Na]+), 377 (6), 356 (4, [d + K]+), 340 (36, [d + Na]+), 318 (60, [d + 1]+), 262 (13, [d + 1 − C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>+), 218 (4, [d + 1 − C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> − CO<sub>2</sub>|+). Anal. calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (317.43): C 71.89, H 8.57, N 4.41; found: C 71.78, H 8.54, N 4.37.

*Data of* **15**: Colourless, amorphous.  $R_f$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.12. M.p. 79.8−81.6°. FT-IR (1.5%, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3028w, 3015m, 2912w, 2851w, 1682s (C=O), 1604w, 1486w, 1451m, 1361w, 1129m, 1075w, 1035w, 963w. 

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.38−7.24 (5 arom. H); 5.60−5.49 (m, H−C(6), H−C(7)); 5.03 (d, J = 15.3, PhCH); 4.27 (t, J = 11.4, H−C(4)); 4.19 (d, J = 15.3, PhCH); 4.13 (ddd, J = 10.6, 4.7, 1.9, H′−C(4)); 3.43−3.35 (m, H−C(8a)); 2.50−2.31 (m, H−C(4a), H−C(5), H−C(8)); 2.15−2.03 (m, H′−C(8)); 1.89 (br. d, J ≈ 18, H′−C(5)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 153.12 (s, C=O); 137.14 (s); 128.55 (d, 2 C); 127.82 (d, 2 C); 127.49 (d); 123.99, 122.20 (2d, C(6), C(7)); 67.77 (t, C(4)); 50.87 (t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 50.80 (d, C(8a)); 29.90 (d, C(4a)); 27.31, 25.46 (2t, C(5), C(8)). EI-MS: 243 (23, M<sup>+</sup>), 189 (17, [M − C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), 150 (4), 144 (20), 128 (9), 91 (100, Bn<sup>+</sup>).

Data of **16**: Colourless oil.  $R_t$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.74. FT-IR (3%, CHCl₃): 3089w, 3067w, 3030m, 3013s, 2980m, 2931m, 2862m, 1681s, 1496m, 1477m, 1454s, 1405m, 1392m, 1367s, 1351m, 1339m, 1272m, 1123m, 1076m, 1028w, 1012w, 971w, 904w, 884w, 857w, 853w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.42 – 7.13 (10 arom. H); 5.64 (br. d, J = 10.3), 5.56 (br. d, J = 10.0) (H−(3), H−C(4)); 4.65 (br. d, J = 17, PhCHN); 4.54 – 4.45 (m, H−C(1)); 4.51, 4.45 (2d, J = 11.8, PhCH<sub>2</sub>O); 4.29 (d, J = 16.8, PhCHN); 3.64 (dd, J = 9.2, 4.8, CH−C(6)); 3.43 (t, J ≈ 8.9, CH′−C(6)); 2.47 – 2.29 (m, 2 H); 2.23 – 2.07 (m, 3 H); 1.38 (s, t-Bu). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 155.90 (s, C=O); 140.53, 138.42 (2s); 128.87 – 125.90 (several d); 125.77, 125.11 (2d, C(3), C(4)); 79.67 (s, Me₃C); 73.07, 70.26 (2t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>−C(6)); 52.54 (d, C(1)); 48.22 (t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>N); 37.77 (d, C(6)); 28.35 (q, de<sub>3</sub>C); 27.55, 27.26 (2t, C(2), C(5)). ESI-MS: 837 (9, [2M + Na] $^+$ ), 467 (25), 446 (7, [M + K] $^+$ ), 430 (46, [M + Na] $^+$ ), 408 (16, [M + 1] $^+$ ), 318 (26), 308 (14, [M + 1 − C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> − CO<sub>2</sub>] $^+$ ).

N-{cis-6-{(Benzyloxy)methyl]cyclohex-3-enyl}-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (14). A soln. of 13 (1 g, 3.15 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 ml) was treated with CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H (4 ml, 52 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 1.5 h, and evaporated. The soln. of the residue (milky oil) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 ml) was treated with Et<sub>3</sub>N (4 ml, 28.7 mmol) and (CF<sub>3</sub>CO)<sub>2</sub>O (1.7 ml, 12.2 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 19 h, and evaporated. FC (cyclohexane/AcOEt 9:1) of the residue (orange oil) gave 14 (848 mg, 84%). Yellow oil.  $R_t$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.66. FT-IR (1%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3363w (NH), 3033w, 2920w, 2868w, 2848w, 1718s (C=O), 1583m, 1455w, 1440w, 1373w, 1290w, 1093w, 1074w, 1004w, 911w, 849w. 

1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.92 – 7.82 (br. s, NH); 7.40 – 7.28 (5 arom. H); 5.61 (br. d, J = 11.8, H – C(4)); 5.57 (br. d, J = 11.5, H – C(3)); 4.52, 4.48 (2d, J = 11.5, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 4.33 (tdd, J = 8.4, 5.6, 2.8, H – C(1)); 3.72 (t, J = 9.8, CH – C(6)); 3.48 (dd, J = 9.7, 4.4, CH' – C(6)); 2.50 – 2.32 (m, H – C(2), H – C(5), H – C(6)); 2.02 (br. dd, J ≈ 17, 9, H' – C(2)); 1.82 (br. d, J ≈ 18, H' – C(5)).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 137.10 (s); 128.41 (d, 2 C); 127.94 (d); 127.73 (d, 2 C); 124.91, 123.98 (2d, C(3), C(4)); 73.85, 71.51 (2t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub> – C(6)); 47.76 (d, C(1)); 34.94 (d, C(6)); 28.16, 27.37 (2t, C(2), C(5)); signals for COCF<sub>3</sub> hidden by noise.  $^{19}$ F-NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): – 76.14 (s). ESI-MS: 368 (7, M + Na + MeOH]+), 352 (18, M + K]+), 336 (100, M + Na]+), 314 (60, M + 1]+).

N-[c-4-Bromo-t-3-(4-bromobutoxy)cyclohex-r-1-yl]-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (17). A cold (0°) soln. of **9** (59 mg, 0.33 mmol) in THF (2 ml) was treated with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) (29 mg, 0.16 mmol) and stirred for 25 h while allowed to warm to r.t. The mixture was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 ml), washed with sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> soln. (3 × 10 ml) and brine (10 ml), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (2 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 12:1) of the residue (31 mg, colourless oil) gave **17** (14.7 mg, 31%) as a colourless oil and an unidentified byproduct (3.2 mg).  $R_t$  (toluene/AcOEt 10:1) 0.58. FT-IR (1.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3428w (NH), 2953w, 1725s (C=O), 1536m, 1435w, 1384w, 1357w, 1097m, 968w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.14-6.07 (br. s, NH); 4.32 (q, J = 3.2, H -C(3)); 4.22-4.08 (m, H -C(1)); 3.76 (q, J = 3.1, H -C(4)); 3.59-3.49 (m, 2 H), 3.45 (t, J = 6.5, 2 H) (2 H -C(1'), 2 H -C(4')); 2.30 (ddt, J = 15.4, 12.1, 3.5, H -C(5)); 2.10-1.65 (m, 2 H -C(2), H -C(5), 2 H -C(6), 2 H -C(2'), 2 H -C (3')). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 78.01 (d, C(3)); 68.81 (t, C(1')); 49.38, 44.74 (2d, C(1), C(4)); 33.69 (t, C(4')); 30.68, 29.58, 28.59, 28.14, 26.54 (5t, C(2), C(5), C(6), C(2'), C(3')). <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -75.74 (s). ESI-MS: 482 (7), 480 (13), 478 (7, M + Na + MeOH]<sup>+</sup>); 466 (20), 464 (37), 462 (18, M + K]<sup>+</sup>); 450 (50), 448 (100), 446 (51, M + Na]<sup>+</sup>).

(1RS,5RS,8RS)-8-Bromo-3-(trifluoromethyl)-2-oxa-4-azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-3-ene (18) and N-(t-3-acetoxy-c-4-bromocyclohex-r-1-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (19). a) A soln. of 9 (210 mg, 1.09 mmol) in AcOH (10 ml) was treated with NBS (580 mg, 3.26 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 85 min, and evaporated. The residue, suspended in AcOEt (25 ml), was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (20 ml) and brine (20 ml). The aq. phases were extracted with AcOEt (25 ml), and the combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (15 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 9:1) of the residue (520 mg) gave 18 (91.5 mg, 31%) and 19 (86.3 mg, 24%) as red oils.

b) A soln. of 9 (31 mg, 0.16 mmol) in AcOH (1.6 ml) was treated at 5° with NBS (88.5 mg, 0.50 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 1.5 h, and evaporated. A suspension of the residue in AcOEt (25 ml) was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (20 ml) and brine (20 ml), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. Two FC (2 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 9:1) of the residue (72 mg, yellow, amorphous) gave 19 (9.3 mg, 17%) as a colourless oil.

Data of **18**:  $R_1$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.68. FT-IR (1.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 2953w, 1788w, 1686m, 1443w, 1391w, 1369w, 1349m, 1332w, 1288m, 1159s, 1124s, 1114m, 1090w, 1051m, 1021m, 981w, 903w, 851w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.75 – 4.70 (m, H – C(1)); 4.50 – 4.45 (m, H – C(8)); 3.93 – 3.88 (m, H – C(5)); 2.59 (dt, J = 14.0, 1.6, H<sub>ax</sub> – C(9)); 2.18 – 2.01 (m, H – C(6)); 1.99 – 1.83 (m, H – C(6), CH<sub>2</sub>(7)); 1.77 (dtd, J = 14.0, 3.7, 1.9, H<sub>eq</sub> – C(9)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 74.47 (d, C(1)); 48.17, 46.73 (2d, C(5), C(8)); 25.02, 24.90, 22.85 (3t, C(6), C(7), C(9)); q for CF<sub>3</sub>CO hidden by noise. ESI-MS: 196 (10), 194 (10, [M – CCF<sub>3</sub> + 4 H]<sup>+</sup>); 87 (100).

Data of **19**: R<sub>1</sub> (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.49. FT-IR (1.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3426w (NH), 3034w, 3008w, 2959w, 1727s (C=O), 1537m, 1457w, 1436w, 1374m, 1260m, 1095w, 1063w, 1036m, 1018m, 983w, 909w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.25-6.16 (br. s, NH); 5.21 (q, J=3.4, H-C(3)); 4.27 (q, J=3.4, H-C(4)); 4.24-4.11

(m, H-C(1)); 2.32 – 1.73 (m, 6 H); 2.11 (s, MeO).  $^{13}C$ -NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 169.89 (s, MeC=O); 156.85  $(q, J=37.6, F_3CC=O)$ ; 115.91  $(q, J=289.3, CF_3)$ ; 71.96 (d, C(3)); 47.53, 44.75 (2d, C(1), C(4)); 30.81, 28.43, 26.55 (3t, C(2), C(5), C(6)); 21.07 (q, Me).  $^{19}F$ -NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): –75.70 (s). ESI-MS: 388 (35), 386  $(34, [M+Na+MeOH]^+)$ ; 356 (97), 354  $(100, [M+Na]^+)$ .

N-(trans-3,4-Epoxycyclohexyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (20) and (IRS,5RS,8RS)-3-(Trifluoromethyl)-2-oxa-4-azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-3-en-8-ol (21). a) A cold (0°) soln. of 19 (17 mg, 51  $\mu$ mol) in THF (1.5 ml) was treated with NaH (3.7 mg of a 50% suspension in oil, 77  $\mu$ mol), stirred at 0° for 1 h, stirred for 20 h while warming to r.t., and poured into H<sub>2</sub>O (10 ml). The mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4 × 15 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (2 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 2:1) of the residue (11.9 mg, colourless oil) gave 20 (3.0 mg, 28%) and 21 (3.6 mg, 33%), both as colourless volatile oils. b) 21 (16.7 mg, 89%) was obtained by hydrolysis of 19 (30 mg, 90  $\mu$ mol) in MeOH (1 ml) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.2 ml) with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (30 mg, 217  $\mu$ mol).

Data of **20**:  $R_1$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 1:1) 0.59. FT-IR (0.2%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3410w (NH), 2950w, 1727s (C=O), 1528w, 1262s, 1171s, 1093m, 1020m, 974w, 928w, 822m.  $^1$ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.13–5.99 (br. s, NH); 4.08–3.96 (m, H–C(1)); 3.26–3.23 (m, J = 2.2, 1.9, irr. at 2.46  $\rightarrow$  dd, J = 3.7, 2.2, H–C(3)); 3.18 (td, J = 3.7, 1.6, H–C(4)); 2.46 (ddt, J = 14.6, 5.3, 1.5, H–C(2)); 2.10–2.03 (m, 2 H–C(5)); 1.81–1.70 (m, H–C(6)); 1.75 (ddd, J = 14.6, 8.6, 2.7, H′–C(2)); 1.38 (dtd, J = 12.7, 10.0, 7.2, H′–C(6)).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 51.98, 50.80 (C(3), C(4)); 43.68 (C(1)); 30.84, 25.65, 21.99 (C(2), C(5), C(6)); signals for CF<sub>3</sub>CO hidden by noise.  $^{19}$ F-NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -75.72. ESI-MS (neg. mode): 254 (65, [M + HCOO] $^-$ ), 208 (60, [M – 1] $^-$ ), 91 (68), 45 (100).

Data of 21:  $R_1$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 1:1) 0.47. FT-IR (0.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3618w (OH), 2998w, 2948w, 1689m, 1446w, 1393w, 1322w, 1290m, 1262w, 1153s, 1100s, 1080m, 1023m, 1004m, 970w, 936w, 851w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.59 – 4.55 (m, H – C(1)); 4.18 – 4.13 (m, H – C(8)); 3.90 – 3.84 (m, H – C(5)); 2.26 (dt, J = 14.0, 1.5, H<sub>ax</sub> – C(9)); 2.02 (tdd, J = 13.6, 4.7, 3.1, H – C(6)); 1.88 – 1.78 (m, H' – C(6)); 1.73 – 1.62 (m, H<sub>eq</sub> – C(7), H<sub>eq</sub> – C(9)); 1.53 (dddd, J = 15.3, 13.4, 5.3, 3.4, H<sub>ax</sub> – C(7)); OH hidden between 1.73 and 1.47. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 74.26 (d, C(1)); 67.39 (d, C(8)); 46.94 (d, C(5)); 25.02, 23.92, 22.46 (3t, C(6), C(7), C(9)). <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -73.43 (s). EI-MS: 209 (24, M<sup>+</sup>); 153 (99, [M – 56]<sup>+</sup>).

(1RS,5SR,6RS,8RS)-6-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-8-bromo-3-(trifluoromethyl)-2-oxa-4-azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-3ene (22). A soln. of 14 (36 mg, 115 μmol) in AcOH (3 ml) was treated at 10° with NBS (61 mg, 345 μmol), stirred for 90 min at r.t., and evaporated. The residue was suspended in AcOEt (20 ml), and washed with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (20 ml) and brine (20 ml). The aq. phases were extracted with AcOEt (20 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (2 g of silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 8:1) of the residue (85 mg, yellow oil) gave 22 (36 mg, 79%). Colourless oil. R<sub>f</sub> (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.68. FT-IR (0.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3008w, 2927m, 2857w, 1728w, 1688m, 1602w, 1454w, 1442w, 1390w, 1362w, 1166s, 1130s, 1110m, 1076m, 1040w, 914w.  $^{1}$ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.36-7.26 (5 arom. H); 4.74-4.69 (m, H-C(1)); 4.57 (d, J=11.8, PhCH); 4.51-4.47 (m, H-C(8)); 4.49 (d, J=11.8, PhCH); 4.04-3.99 (m, H-C(5)); 3.56 (dd, J=9.0, 7.5, PhCH); 4.04-3.99 (d, J=9.0, PhCH); d, J=9.0, PhCHCH-C(6)); 3.29 (dd, J = 9.0, 6.5, CH'-C(6)); 2.65 – 2.54 (m, H-C(6)); 2.54  $(dt, J = 14.3, 1.6, H_{ax}-C(9))$ ; 2.12 (br. dd, J = 15.9, 2.5,  $H_{eq} - C(7)$ ); 1.83 (dtd, J = 14.3, 3.9, 1.6,  $H_{eq} - C(9)$ ); 1.57 (ddd, J = 15.9, 12.1, 4.0,  $H_{av}$  – C(7)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 147.62 (q, J = 38.4, O - C = N); 138.05 (s); 128.30 (d, 2 C); 127.56 (d, 3 C); 116.26  $(q, J = 275.9, CF_3)$ ; 74.33 (d, C(1)); 73.28  $(t, PhCH_2)$ ; 71.34  $(t, CH_2 - C(6))$ ; 47.80, 47.68 (2d, C(5), C(8)); 38.04 (d, C(6)); 28.88 (t, C(7); 23.32 (t, C(9)). <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -73.10 (s). HR-MS (MALDI): 412 (86), 410.0578 (100,  $C_{16}H_{20}BrF_{3}NO_{3}^{+}$ ,  $[M+H_{3}O]^{+}$ ; calc. 410.0579); 394 (1); 392.0475 (1,  $C_{16}H_{18}BrF_3NO_2^+, [M+1]^+; calc.\ 392.0473); 316\ (16), 314\ (16, [M+4-CF_3C]^+); 312\ (14), 310\ (14); 298\ (6), 296\ (16, M+1)^2; 298\ (16), 296\ (16, M+1)^2; 298\ (16), 296\ (16, M+1)^2; 298\ (16), 296\ (16),$  $(6, [M+2-COCF_3]^+).$ 

(IRS,5SR,6SR,8RS)-8-Bromo-6-(6-chloropyridin-3-yl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-2-oxa-4-azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-3-ene (23). A soln. of 4 (50 mg, 167 μmol) in AcOH (3 ml) was treated at 10° with NBS (89 mg, 501 μmol), stirred for 90 min at r.t., and evaporated. A suspension of the residue in AcOEt (20 ml) was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln. (20 ml) and brine (20 ml), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (2 g of silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 6:1) of the residue (99 mg, yellow, amorphous) gave 23 (52 mg, 81%). Colourless oil.  $R_t$  (toluene/AcOEt 10:1) 0.42. FT-IR (1%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3003w, 2945w, 1688m, 1586w, 1565w, 1461m, 1441w, 1387w, 1363w, 1335w, 1161s, 1128s, 1106m, 1087w, 1053w, 1025w, 1001w, 967w, 929w, 915w, 875w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.33 (d, J = 2.5, 1 arom. H); 7.62 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.8, 1 arom. H); 7.28 (d, J = 8.4, 1 arom. H); 4.79 (tt, J = 3.5, 1.7, irr. at 4.02 – 3.97 → td, J = 3.5, 1.6, irr. at 2.74 → td, J = 3.6, 2.2, H – C(1)); 4.62 – 4.57 (m, H – C(8)); 4.02 – 3.97 (m, H – C(5)); 3.52 (ddd, J = 10.3, 6.5, 2.2, H – C(6)); 2.74 (dt, J = 14.3, 1.6, H<sub>ax</sub> – C(9)); 2.21 – 2.08 (m, 2 H – C(7)); 1.95 (dtd, J = 14.3, 4.0, 1.6, H<sub>eq</sub> – C(9)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 150.54 (s); 149.54 (d); 138.42 (d); 135.87 (s);

124.35 (*d*); 73.82 (*d*, C(1)); 51.26, 47.62 (2*d*, C(5), C(8)); 40.45 (*d*, C(6)); 32.12, 24.18 (2*t*, C(7), C(9)); 2*q* for CF<sub>3</sub>CO hidden by noise. <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -73.54 (*s*).

[t-4-Bromo-c-2-(6-chloropyridin-3-yl)-5-hydroxycyclohex-r-I-yl]ammonium Trifluoroacetate (24-CF<sub>3</sub>COOH). A soln. of 23 (5.6 mg, 14.6 µmol) in THF (1 ml) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.33 ml) was treated with CF<sub>3</sub>COOH (1 drop), stirred at r.t. for 50 min, and evaporated. The residue was co-evaporated with MeOH to yield crude 24 · CF<sub>3</sub>COOH (7.8 mg, quant.). Colourless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9:1) 0.40. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): 8.33 (d, J = 2.5, 1 arom. H); 7.83 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.5, 1 arom. H); 7.52 (d, J = 8.4, 1 arom. H); 4.61 - 4.56 (qd, J = 3.4, 2, irr. at 2.09  $\rightarrow q$ , J = 3.4, H-C(4)); 4.25 (q, J = 3.4, irr. at 2.64  $\rightarrow t$ , J = 3.4, H-C(5)); 3.86 - 3.78 (m, H-C(1), H-C(2)); 2.90 (ddd, J = 15.6, 12.1, 3.4, H<sub>ax</sub>-C(3)); 2.64 (dt, J = 15.6, 3.4, H<sub>ax</sub>-C(6)); 2.23 (br. dt, J = 15.6, 3.1, H<sub>eq</sub>-C(3)); 2.09 (br. d, J = 15.5, H<sub>eq</sub>-C(6)). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): 149.64; 148.35; 139.65; 133.89; 124.86; 68.14 (C(5)); 51.09, 50.29 (C(1), C(4); 43.02 C(2)); 35.34, 30.20 (C(6), C(3)). ¹³F-NMR (282 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): -76.08 (s). HR-MS (MALDI): 425 (24), 423 (23); 402 (22); 380 (28); 307 (38), 305.0044 (33, C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrClN<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>,  $[M+1]^+$ ; calc. 305.0056).

tert-Butyl N-(c-3,t-4-dibromocyclohex-r-1-yl)carbamate (25) and tert-Butyl N-(t-3,c-4-dibromocyclohex-r-1-yl)carbamate (26). A soln. of 8 (849 mg, 4.3 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 ml) was treated with Et<sub>4</sub>NBr (9.0 g, 43 mmol) at r.t., cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ , treated with Br<sub>2</sub> (0.44 ml, 1.38 g, 8.6 mmol) over a period of 10 min, stirred at  $-78^{\circ}$  for 2 h, and poured into sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> soln. (50 ml). The mixture was extracted with AcOEt (4 × 50 ml), and the combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (60 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 12:1) gave 26 (590 mg, 38%) and 25 (775 mg, 50%).

Data of 25: Colourless crystals.  $R_{\rm f}$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.60. M.p. 128−129°. FT-IR (1.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3441w (NH), 3008m, 2980m, 1708s (C=O), 1501s, 1449w, 1392w, 1368m, 1337w, 1313m, 1274m, 1164s, 1076w, 1045m, 1012w, 949w, 917w, 860w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.67−4.57 (br. s, NH); 4.10 (td, J = 9.3, 4.1), 4.02 (td, J = 9.3, 4.1) (H−C(3), H−C(4)); 3.66−3.53 (br. s,  $W_{1/2}$  ≈ 20, H−C(1)); 2.79−2.69 (br. d, J = 13.4, 1 H); 2.52−2.43 (m, 1 H); 2.06−1.97 (m, 1 H); 1.95−1.73 (m, 2 H); 1.42 (br. s, t-Bu); 1.38−1.21 (m, 1 H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 155.13 (s, C=O); 79.91 (s, Me<sub>3</sub>C); 55.33, 53.44 (2d, C(3), C(4)); 48.18 (d, C(1)); 42.99 (t, C(2)); 34.33, 32.12 (2t, C(5), C(6)); 28.43 (q,  $Me_3$ C). ESI-MS: 414 (53), 412 (100), 410 (56, [M + Na + MeOH]<sup>+</sup>); 336 (37), 334 (78), 332 (36). Anal. calc. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>19</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (357.08): C 37.00, H 5.36, N 3.92; found: C 37.25, H 5.31, N 3.85.

N-(c-3,t-4-Dibromocyclohex-r-1-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (27) and N-(t-3,c-4-Dibromocyclohex-r-1-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (28). a) Conversion of 9 (102 mg, 0.53 mmol) according to the preparation of 25/26 gave 28 (51 mg, 27%) and 27 (120 mg, 64%).

b) At  $0^\circ$ , a soln. of **9** (11.61 g, 60.1 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (250 ml) was treated with Me<sub>3</sub>PhNBr<sub>3</sub> (45.2 g, 120.2 mmol), stirred for 2.5 h, and poured into ice-cold sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> soln. (600 ml). The aq. phase was extracted with AcOEt (2 × 500 ml), and the combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (200 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 12:1) gave **28** (3.27 g, 15%) and **27** (16.79 g, 79%).

Data of 27: Amorphous solid.  $R_{\rm f}$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.48. M.p. 99–100°. FT-IR (1.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3426w (NH), 3400w, 3008w, 2957w, 2862w, 1727s (C=O), 1535m, 1448w, 1438w, 1424w, 1381w, 1344w, 1293w, 1263m, 1170s, 1082w, 997w, 950w, 928w, 878w.  $^{\rm 1}$ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.13–7.00 (m, NH); 4.33–4.19 (m, H–C(3), H–C(4)); 4.08 (qt, J = 8.1, 4.0, H–C(1)); 2.80 (dtd, J = 14.0, 4.1, 1.6, H–C(2)); 2.51 (ddt, J = 14.6, 7.2, 3.4, H–C(5)); 2.16–1.91 (m, H–C(6), H'–C(2), H'–C(5)); 1.65–1.53 (m, H'–C(6)).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 156.77 (q, J = 37, C=O); 115.89 (q, J = 288, CF<sub>3</sub>); 53.54, 51.66 (2d, C(3), C(4)); 46.61 (d, C(1)); 38.20 (d, C(2)), 30.84, 28.85 (2d, C(5), C(6)). ESI-MS (neg. mode): 390 (37), 388 (53), 386 (23, [d +CI] $^{-}$ ); 354 (46), 352 (100), 350 (47, [d – H] $^{+}$ ). Anal. calc. for  $C_8$ H $_{10}$ Br $_2$ F $_3$ NO (352.98): C 27.22, H 2.86, N 3.97; found: C 27.34, H 2.50, N 3.91.

Data of **28**: Amorphous solid.  $R_{\rm f}$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.59. M.p. 114–115°. FT-IR (1.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3426m (NH), 3011w, 2957w, 1728s (C=O), 1536m, 1454w, 1436w, 1385w, 1339w, 1298w, 1278w, 1259m, 1171s, 1027w, 962w, 899w, 849w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.41–6.29 (m, NH); 4.69–4.64 (m, H–C(3)); 4.64–4.59 (m, H–C(4)); 4.40 (tdt, J = 11.8, 8.1, 4.1, H–C(1)); 2.61 (dddd, J = 15.6, 12.5, 4.1, 3.1, H $_{ax}$ –C(5)); 2.46

(ddd, J = 14.2, 11.7, 3.4,  $H_{ax}$  −C(2)); 2.25 (br. d, J ≈ 14.3,  $H_{eq}$  −C(2)); 2.05 (br. d, J ≈ 14.9,  $H_{eq}$  −C(5)); 1.98 (br. d, J ≈ 13.3,  $H_{eq}$  −C(6)); 1.86 (qd, J = 12.1, 3.7,  $H_{ax}$  −C(6)).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 51.30, 50.58 (2d, C(3), C(4)); 44.83 (d, C(1)); 33.82 (t, C(2)); 27.81, 26.49 (2t, C(5), C(6)). ESI-MS (neg. mode): 390 (36), 388 (50), 386 (23, [M + Cl] $^-$ ); 354 (47), 352 (100), 350 (49, [M − H] $^-$ ). Anal. calc. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO (352.98): C 27.22, H 2.86, N 3.97; found: C 27.33, H 2.96, N 3.84.

Methyl c-4,t-5-Dibromo-c-2-{[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]amino]cyclohexane-t-1-carboxylate (29), Methyl t-4,c-5-Dibromo-c-2-{[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]amino]cyclohexane-t-1-carboxylate (30), and Methyl (1RS,5SR,6RS,8RS)-8-Bromo-3-oxo-2-oxa-4-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-6-carboxylate (31). a) At 0°, a suspension of 11 (110 mg, 0.43 mmol) and Et<sub>4</sub>NBr (905 mg, 4.3 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 ml) was treated with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> (323 mg, 0.86 mmol), stirred for 2 h, treated with sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> soln. (10 ml), and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 10 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (12 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 9:1) gave 29 (151 mg, 84%) and 30 (11 mg, 6%).

b) At  $-78^{\circ}$ , a soln. of **11** (210 mg, 0.795 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 ml) was treated with Br<sub>2</sub> (0.2 ml, 3.9 mmol), stirred for 75 min, treated with sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> soln. (10 ml), and warmed to 0°. Workup as described in a and FC (50 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 9:1) of the residue (293 mg; colourless, amorphous) gave **29** (92 mg, 28%) and **30** (99.5 mg, 30%). Elution of the column with MeOH gave **31** (81 mg, 36%).

*Data of* **29**: Colourless crystals.  $R_{\rm f}$  (toluene/AcOEt 10:1) 0.47. M.p.: 148.8−150.0°. FT-IR (0.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3437w (NH), 3019s, 2980w, 2952w, 1728s (C=O), 1709s (C=O), 1602w, 1499s, 1446w, 1367m, 1309m, 1065w, 1012w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.50 (br. d, J = 9.3, NH); 4.34−4.10 (br. s, 2 H), 4.10−3.94 (br. s, 1 H) (H−C(2), H−C(4), H−C(5)); 3.72 (s, MeO); 3.01 (br. dt, J ≈ 5.0, 4.4, H−C(1)); 2.78 (br. ddd, J = 14.6, 5.6, 3.4, H<sub>eq</sub>−C(6)); 2.58 (br. d, J = 14.0, H<sub>eq</sub>−C(3)); 2.28 (dt, J = 14.0, 9.0, H<sub>ax</sub>−C(3)); 2.09 (ddd, J = 14.3, 9.3, 4.7, H<sub>ax</sub>−C(6)); 1.40 (s, t-Bu). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 172.30 (s, CO<sub>2</sub>Me); 154.70 (s, CO<sub>2</sub>CMe<sub>3</sub>); 79.77 (s, Me<sub>3</sub>C); 52.27 (q, MeO); 52.02 (d, C(2)); 47.84, 43.37 (2d, C(4), C(5)); 38 (br. d, C(1)); 28.39 (q, de<sub>3</sub>C); the C(3) and C(6) t are hidden, presumably under the de<sub>3</sub>C q. ESI-MS: 418 (45), 416 (100), 414 (52, [d + 1]<sup>+</sup>); 362 (14), 360 (32), 358 (15, [d + 1 − C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>|<sup>+</sup>).

Data of **30**: Colourless crystals.  $R_f$  (toluene/AcOEt 10:1) 0.43. M.p.  $101.5-109.0^\circ$ . FT-IR (0.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3440w (NH), 3030m, 2981w, 2955w, 1725s (C=O), 1709s (C=O), 1602w, 1500s, 1439m, 1367m, 1280m, 1096w, 1053w, 1007m.  $^1$ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.62-5.45 (m, NH); 4.63-4.53 (m, 1 H), 4.40-4.28 (m, 2 H) (H-C(2), H-C(4), H-C(5)); 3.71 (s, MeO); 2.87-2.75 (m, 3 H); 2.61-2.50 (m, 1 H); 2.04 (dt, J = 14.6, 4.7, 1 H); 1.44 (s, t-Bu).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 155.20 ( $CO_2$ CMe<sub>3</sub>) (one signal hidden by noise); 79.94 (Me<sub>3</sub>C); 52.46 (MeO); 52.13, 50.39, 45.49 (C(2), C(4), C(5)); 42.20 (C(1)); 31.95, 27.08 (C(3), C(6)); 28.54 ( $Me_3$ C). ESI-MS: 472 (11), 470 (21), 468 (9, [M + Na + MeOH]+); 456 (10), 454 (21), 452 (10, [M + K]+); 440 (52), 438 (100), 436 (58, [M + Na]+); 418 (7), 416 (17), 414 (8, [M + 1]+); 362 (13), 360 (28), 358 (12, [M + 1 -  $C_4$ H<sub>8</sub>|+); 318 (5), 316 (9), 314 (5, [M + 1 -  $C_4$ H<sub>8</sub>|-  $CO_2$ |+).

Data of **31**: Yellowish, amorphous.  $R_{\rm f}$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.0. M.p.  $190-197^{\circ}$  (dec.). FT-IR (1.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3438w (NH), 3025w, 3011w, 2952w, 1715s (C=O), 1502w, 1438m, 1407w, 1367w, 1347w, 1326w, 1154w, 1101m, 1062w, 1040w, 1005w, 978w, 953w, 891w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.76 – 5.68 (br. s, NH); 4.67 – 4.63 (m), 4.56 – 4.51 (m) (H – C(1), H – C(8)); 4.06 – 4.01 (m, H – C(5)); 3.73 (s, MeO); 3.00 (s0 (s0 ddd, s10, 5.9, 1.6, H – C(6)); 2.56 (s0 ddt, s114.0, 2.2, 1.6, irr. at 5.72 s12 dt, s114.0, 1.6, s13 dt, s15 (19); 2.43 – 2.37 (s17 (s18 dt, s19); 2.10 (s19), 210 (s10), 278 (s19), 218 (s19), 219 (s19), 219 (s19), 219 (s10), 278 (s19), 219 (s19), 219

tert-Butyl N-{c-2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-t-4,c-5-dibromocyclohex-r-1-yl]carbamate (32), tert-Butyl N-{c-2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-c-4,t-5-dibromocyclohex-r-1-yl]carbamate (33), (1RS,5SR,6RS,8RS)-6-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-8-bromo-2-oxa-4-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-one (34), and (1RS,2SR,4SR,5SR)-4-Bromo-2-{[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]amino]-6-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane (35). a) At  $0^{\circ}$ , a soln. of 13 (130 mg, 0.41 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 ml) was treated with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> (310 mg, 0.82 mmol), stirred for 160 min, treated with sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> soln. (20 ml), and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 20 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (15 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 10:1) gave 32 (91 mg, 46%). Elution of the column with MeOH gave 34 (69 mg, 49%).

b) At  $-78^{\circ}$ , a soln. of **13** (140 mg, 0.44 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 ml) was treated with Br<sub>2</sub> (0.07 ml, 1.32 mmol), stirred for 160 min, and worked up as described in a. FC (15 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 10:1) gave **32** (37.5 mg, 18%), **33** (14 mg, 6%), and **35** (43.4 mg, 32%). Elution of the column with MeOH gave **34** (58 mg, 43%)

c) At  $-78^{\circ}$ , a suspension of 13 (2.0 g, 6.5 mmol) and Et<sub>4</sub>NBr (13.2 g, 63 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml) was treated with PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> (4.79 g, 12.6 mmol) and allowed to warm to r.t. within 20 h. Workup as described in a and FC (100 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 10:1) gave 32 (2.48 g, 82%) as colourless crystals.

Data of **32**: Colourless crystals.  $R_{\rm f}$  (toluene/AcOEt 10:1) 0.58. M.p.  $117^{\circ}$  (dec.). FT-IR (2%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3433w (NH), 3020m, 2981m, 2932w, 2868w, 1707s (C=O), 1502s, 1455m, 1393m, 1377m, 1323w, 1280w, 1090m, 1040w, 1028w, 981w, 857w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.39 – 7.26 (5 arom. H); 5.60 (br. d, J = 8.1, NH); 4.54, 4.46 (2d, J = 11.8, PhC $H_2$ ); 4.50 – 4.41 (m, H – C(4)); 4.37 – 4.29 (m, H – C(5)); 4.03 – 3.93 (m, H – C(1)); 3.67 (dd, J = 9.7, 7.2, CH – C(2)); 3.47 (dd, J = 9.7, 5.3, CH' – C(2)); 2.66 (dt, J = 14.6, 4.4, H – C(6)); 2.49 – 2.40 (m, H – C(2)); 2.34 (ddd, J = 14.6, 7.6, 3.6, H – C(3)); 2.22 (dt, J = 14.6, 7.3, H' – C(6)); 2.08 (ddd, J = 14.6, 7.6, 4.0, H' – C(3)); 1.43 (s, t-Bu). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 155.07 (s, C=O); 137.65 (s); 128.41 (d, 2 C); 127.34 (d); 127.58 (d, 2 C); 79.35 (s, Me<sub>3</sub>C); 73.46 (t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 70.69 (t, CH<sub>2</sub> – C(2)); 53.06, 52.06, 48.33 (3d, C(1), C(4), C(5)); 36.43 (d, C(2)); 28.54 (d, d, d, d); 2t hidden (probably at 28.54). ESI-MS: 518 (14), 516 (29), 514 (15, M + K]<sup>+</sup>); 502 (49), 500 (100), 498 (57, M + Na]<sup>+</sup>); 446 (19), 444 (19), 442 (7, M + Na – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>]<sup>+</sup>); 380 (5), 378 (10), 376 (7, M + 1 – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> – CO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>27</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (477.24): C 47.82, H 5.70, N 2.93; found: C 47.94, H 5.76, N 2.86.

Data of **33**: Colourless oil.  $R_t$  (toluene/AcOEt 10:1) 0.56.  $^1$ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.38–7.12 (5 arom. H); 5.21 (br. s, NH); 4.49 (d, J = 12.1), 4.44 (d, J = 11.8) (PhC $H_2$ ); 4.26–4.05 (m, 2 H); 4.00–3.94 (m, 1 H); 3.54 (br. dd, J = 9.5, 5.1, CH–C(2)); 3.41 (br. dd, J = 9.0, 4.4, CH′–C(2)); 2.79 (br. d, J = 14.0, 1 H); 2.58–2.39 (m, 2 H); 2.09–1.96 (m, 2 H); 1.46 (s, t-Bu).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 155.32 (C=O); 137.48; 128.35 (2 C); 127.73; 127.61 (2 C); 80.35 (Me<sub>3</sub>C); 73.59 (PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 71.56 (CH<sub>2</sub>–C(2)); 52.78, 49.67, 48.54 (C(1), C(4), C(5)); 40.62 (C(2)); 35.86; 28.47 (Me<sub>3</sub>C); 1 signal hidden by other signal. ESI-MS: 518 (16), 516 (35), 514 (21, [M + K] $^+$ ); 502 (43), 500 (100), 498 (44, [M + Na] $^+$ ).

Data of **34**: Yellow, amorphous.  $R_f$  (toluene/AcOEt 10:1) 0.02. M.p. 136−141°. FT-IR (1%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3443w (NH), 3033w, 3010m, 2867w, 1715s (C=O), 1604w, 1436m, 1409w, 1369w, 1294w, 1102m, 1038w, 904w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.41−7.29 (5 arom. H); 5.33−5.28 (m, NH); 4.68−4.64 (m, H−C(1)); 4.54 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH); 4.50−4.46 (m, H−C(8)); 4.45 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH); 3.78−3.73 (m, H−C(5)); 3.37 (dd, J = 9.5, 5.1, CH−C(6)); 3.31 (t, J = 9.2, CH′−C(6)); 2.53 (ddt, J = 14.0, 2.2, 1.6, H<sub>ax</sub>−C(9)); 2.41−2.30 (m, H−C(6)); 2.04 (dtd, J = 14.0, 4.0, 1.6, irr. at 4.48 → dt, H<sub>eq</sub>−C(9)); 1.97−1.90 (m, 2 H−C(7)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 153.72 (s, C=O); 137.80 (s); 128.45 (d, 2 C); 127.82 (d); 127.34 (d, 2 C); 75.49 (d, C(1)); 73.30 (t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 70.35 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>−C(6)); 47.68, 46.00 (2d, C(5), C(8)); 36.92 (d, C(6)); 28.19, 24.30 (2t, C(7), C(9)). ESI-MS: 705 (31), 703 (23), 701 (16, [2M + Na]<sup>+</sup>); 364 (19), 362 (23, [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>); 342 (15), 340 (15, [M + 1]<sup>+</sup>); 298 (75), 296 (100, [M + 1 − CO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>).

*Data of 35*: Colourless, amorphous.  $R_f$  (toluene/AcOEt 10:1) 0.25. M.p. 153.3−155.0°. FT-IR (1%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3445w (NH), 3031w, 3011m, 2981m, 2885w, 1711s (C=O), 1501s, 1454w, 1393w, 1368m, 1326w, 1278m, 1087w, 1053m, 1030m, 1000w, 971w, 945w, 923w, 883w, 859w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.51 (br. d, J = 6.9, NH); 4.29 (dd, J = 5.6, 4.4, H−C(5)); 4.14 (t, J = 4.7, H−C(4)); 4.05−3.93 (m,  $W_{1/2}$  ≈ 20, H−C(2)); 3.86 (d, J = 8.7, H<sub>endo</sub>−C(7)); 3.79 (dd, J = 8.7, 4.0, H<sub>exo</sub>−C(7)); 2.59−2.54 (m, H−C(1)); 2.52 (d, J = 12.8, H<sub>ax</sub>−C(8)); 2.20 (dd, J = 15.1, 5.5, irr. at 3.99 → d, J = 15.1, H<sub>eq</sub>−C(3)); 1.97 (ddd, J = 14.9, 12.1, 5.3, irr. at 3.99 → dd, J = 14.9, 5.3, H<sub>ax</sub>−C(3)); 1.87 (dtd, J = 12.5, 5.6, 1.2, H<sub>eq</sub>−C(8)); 1.43 (s, t-Bu). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 154.70 (s, C=O); 79.71 (s, Me<sub>3</sub>C); 77.11 (d, C(5)); 68.52 (t, C(7)); 47.80, 47.47 (2d, C(2), C(4)); 40.37 (d, C(1)); 34.54, 31.94 (2t, C(3), C(8)); 28.43 (g, M<sub>e3</sub>C). ESI-MS: 346 (12), 344 (12,  $[M+K]^+$ ); 330 (37), 328 (29,  $[M+Na]^+$ ).

N-(c-2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-t-4,c-5-dibromocyclohex-r-1-yl]-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (**36**) and N-(c-2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-c-4,t-5-dibromocyclohex-r-1-yl]-2,2,2-trifluoroacetamide (**37**). a) A cold (0°) soln. of **14** (31 mg, 98.9 µmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 ml) was treated with Et<sub>4</sub>NBr (208 mg, 989 µmol) and PhMe<sub>3</sub>NBr<sub>3</sub> (75 mg, 198 µmol), stirred for 1 h, and treated with sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> soln. (2 ml). The mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 20 ml), and the combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (2 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 10:1) of the residue (62 mg, colourless oil) gave **36** (42 mg, 89%). Colourless oil.

b) At  $-78^\circ$ , a soln. of **14** (52.5 mg, 167 µmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 ml) was treated with Br<sub>2</sub> (25 µl, 502 µmol), stirred for 1 h, and treated with sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> soln. (15 ml). The mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 20 ml), and the combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. FC (12 g of silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 10:1) of the residue (91 mg, yellow oil) gave **22** (12.6 mg, 19%), **36** (33.2 mg, 42%), and **37** (25 mg, 32%) as colourless oils.

Data of **36**:  $R_t$  (toluene/AcOEt 10:1) 0.62. FT-IR (0.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3389w (NH), 3339w (NH), 2928w, 2857w, 1722s, 1603w, 1541m, 1452w, 1427w, 1369w, 1292w, 1278w, 1102w, 1091w, 1027w, 987w, 929w, 906w, 856w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.01 – 7.91 (br. s, NH); 7.41 – 7.27 (5 arom. H); 4.52 (d, J = 12.4), 4.48 (d, J = 12.1) (PhC $H_2$ ); 4.48 – 4.41, 4.41 – 4.33, 4.33 – 4.24 (3m, H – C(1), H – C(4), H – C(5)); 3.73 (t, J  $\approx$  8.6, CH – C(2)); 3.54 (dd, J = 9.7, 3.6, CH' – C(2)); 2.74 (dt, J = 14.9, 4.4, H – C(6)); 2.53 (tt, J  $\approx$  8.1, 4.0, H – C(2)); 2.46 (ddd, J = 14.3, 8.3, 3.6, H – C(3)); 2.30 (dt, J = 14.9, 7.1, H' – C(6)); 2.06 (ddd, J = 13.7, 7.8, 2.8, H' – C(3)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 156.85 (q, J = 36.6, C=O); 137.07; 128.83 (2 C); 128.43; 128.16 (2 C); CF<sub>3</sub> hidden by noise; 74.10

(PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 70.88 (CH<sub>2</sub>-C(2)); 52.18 (C(1)); 50.71, 48.55 (C(4), C(5)); 35.58 (C(2)); 27.13 (C(3), C(6)).  $^{19}$ F-NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): -76.68. ESI-MS: 530 (4), 528 (9), 526 (6,  $[M + Na + MeOH]^+$ ); 514 (24), 512 (40), 510 (18,  $[M + K]^+$ ); 498 (54), 496 (100), 494 (53,  $[M + Na]^+$ ).

Data of 37:  $R_{\rm f}$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.51. FT-IR (1%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3331w (NH), 3033w, 3013w, 2868w, 1725s (C=O), 1539m, 1455w, 1366w, 1283w, 1102m, 1072w, 1028w, 895w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.90 − 7.81 (br. s, NH); 7.40 − 7.24 (5 arom. H); 4.52, 4.48 (2d, J = 11.8, PhC $H_2$ ); 4.27 − 4.16 (m, H − C(1), H − C(4), H − C(5)); 3.83 (dd, J = 9.3, 6.2, CH − C(2)); 3.58 (dd, J = 9.8, 2.3, CH′ − C(2)); 2.91 (br. ddd, J ≈ 15, 5, 4, H<sub>eq</sub> − C(6)); 2.57 (br. dt, J = 14.6, 3.9, H<sub>eq</sub> − C(3)); 2.27 (dt, J = 14.4, 9.7, H<sub>ax</sub> − C(3)); 2.21 − 2.11 (m, H − C(2)); 2.14 (ddd, J = 14.6, 10.0, 3.6, H<sub>ax</sub> − C(6)). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 136.39; 128.54 (2 C); 128.23; 127.95 (2 C); 74.02 (PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 71.86 (CH<sub>2</sub> − C(2)); 53.00 (br., CBr); 51.30 (C(1)); 50.65 (br., CBr); 38.61 (C(2)); 34.76 (C(3)); 27.02 (C(6)); COCF<sub>3</sub> signals hidden by noise. ¹°F-NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): −75.80 (s). ESI-MS: 514 (70), 512 (100), 510 (37, [M + K] $^+$ ); 498 (40), 496 (84), 494 (40, [M + Na] $^+$ ).

(1RS,5SR,6RS,8RS)-4-Benzyl-6-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-8-bromo-2-oxa-4-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-one (38) and (1RS,2SR,4SR,5SR)-2-[(Benzyl)[(tert-Butoxy)carbonyl]amino]-4-bromo-6-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane (39). At  $-78^{\circ}$ , a soln. of 16 (30 mg, 73 µmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 ml) was treated with Br<sub>2</sub> (7.5 µl, 146 µmol), stirred for 3 h, and treated with sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> soln. The mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 20 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (2 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 6:1) of the residue (36 mg, orange oil) gave 39 (7.6 mg, 26%) as a colourless oil and 38 (10.2 mg, 32%) as a colourless oil, which became a glass upon standing.

Data of **38**:  $R_1$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.21. FT-IR (0.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3014w, 2952w, 2862w, 1687s (C=O), 1604w, 1496w, 1450m, 1362w, 1309w, 1123m, 1106m, 1074w, 1046w, 1001w.  $^1$ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.42 – 7.19 (10 arom. H); 5.27 (d, J = 14.9, PhCHN); 4.64 (br. t, J = 3.4, H-C(1)); 4.67 (s, PhCH<sub>2</sub>O); 4.51 – 4.47 (m, H-C(8)); 3.80 – 3.76 (m, H-C(5)); 3.76 (d, J = 14.9, PhCHN); 3.42 – 3.37 (m, CH<sub>2</sub> – C(6)); 2.50 – 2.42 (m, H-C(6)); 2.43 (ddd, J = 14.0, 2.2, 1.6 H<sub>ax</sub> – C(9)); 1.98 (ddd, J = 15.6, 12.1, 4.0, H<sub>ax</sub> – C(7)); 1.88 (br. d, J = 15.9, H<sub>eq</sub> – C(7)); 1.74 (dtd, J = 14.0, 4.0, 1.6, H<sub>eq</sub> – C(9)).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 137.61, 137.24 (2s); 128.84, 128.40, 128.30, 128.22, 127.94 (5d); 76.03 (d, C(1)); 73.61 (t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>O); 70.49 (t, CH<sub>2</sub> – C(6)); 52.92 (t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>N); 49.26, 47.73 (2d, C(5), C(8)); 37.19 (d, C(6)); 28.39, 25.73 (2t, C(7), C(9)). ESI-MS: 901 (4), 899 (8), 897 (3, [2M + K] $^+$ ); 885 (59), 883 (100), 881 (49, [2M + Na] $^+$ ); 486 (10), 484 (9, [M + Na + MeOH] $^+$ ); 470 (27), 468 (23, [M + K] $^+$ ); 454 (92), 452 (95, [M + Na] $^+$ ); 432 (7), 430 (6, [M + 1] $^+$ ).

Data of **39**:  $R_1$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.49. FT-IR (1.5%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3027w, 3013m, 2979m, 2887w, 1683s (C=O), 1604w, 1496w, 1478w, 1454m, 1392m, 1381m, 1367m, 1353m, 1330w, 1313w, 1120w, 1082w, 1055m, 1035m, 1019w, 974w, 955w, 929w, 882w, 864w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.34 – 7.11 (5 arom. H); 4.57 (d, J = 17.1, PhCH); 4.57 – 4.49 (m, H – C(2)); 4.49 (d, J = 16.8, PhCH); 4.24 (t, J = 5.0, H – C(5)); 4.16 – 4.12 (m, H – C(4)); 3.86 (d, J = 8.7, H<sub>endo</sub> – C(7)); 3.64 (dd, J = 9.0, 4.1, H<sub>evo</sub> – C(7)); 2.55 (d, J = 12.5, H<sub>ax</sub> – C(8)); 2.54 – 2.48 (m, H – C(1)); 2.43 (br. td, J = 13.2, 5.1, H<sub>ax</sub> – C(3)); 1.99 (br. td, J = 14.5, 4.8, H<sub>eq</sub> – C(3)); 1.84 (tdd, J = 12.5, 5.4, 1.6, H<sub>eq</sub> – C(8)); 1.45 (s, t-Bu). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 155.66 (s, C=O); 139.58 (s); 128.45 (d, 2 C); 126.76 (d, 1 C); 126.08 (d, 2 C); 80.38 (s, Me<sub>3</sub>C); 77.23 (d, C(5)); 69.61 (s, C(7)); 53.04 (s, C(2); 48.58 (s, C(4)); 47.12 (s, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 40.63 (s, C(1)); 34.00, 32.66 (s, C(3), C(8)); 28.46 (s, s, Me<sub>3</sub>C). ESI-MS: 817 (10), 815 (15), 813 (9, [s, H Na]+); 452 (26), 450 (25, [s, H Na + MeOH]+); 436 (39), 434 (34, [s, H K]+); 420 (100), 418 (98, [s, H Na]+); 398 (6), 396 (5, [s, H | 1]+); 342 (15), 340 (13, [s, H + 1 – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>]+).

c-3,t-4-Dibromocyclohexan-r-1-amine (**40**). At r.t., a soln. of **25** (350 mg, 0.98 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml) was treated with CF<sub>3</sub>COOH (1.5 ml, 19.3 mmol), stirred for 3.5 h, and evaporated. The residual oil, dissolved in sat. aq. K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> soln. (15 ml), was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (4 × 40 ml), and the combined org. phases were dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>), and evaporated to yield crude **40** (266 mg, 100%). Colourless oil.  $R_f$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH/NH<sub>4</sub>OH 9:1:0.1) 0.49. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.06, 3.98 (2td, J = 10.6, 4.4, H – C(3), H – C(4)); 2.84 (tt, J = 11.2, 3.7, H – C(1)); 2.59 (ddd, J = 13.1, 6.5, 4.1, 1 H); 2.47 (ddd, J = 14.0, 7.8, 3.4, 1 H); 1.98 – 1.72 (m, 3 H); 1.32 – 1.18 (m, 1 H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 56.29, 54.57 (2d, C(3), C(4)); 50.03 (d, C(1)); 47.75 (t, C(2)); 35.97, 35.89 (2t, C(5), C(6)). ESI-MS: 260 (46), 258 (100), 256 (51, [M + 1]<sup>+</sup>); 178 (50), 176 (53, [M – Br]<sup>+</sup>).

(1RS,2SR,4SR)-2-Bromo-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (41). A soln. of 40 (266 mg, 0.98 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (20 ml) was treated with  $K_2CO_3$  (140 mg, 0.98 mmol), stirred under reflux for 12 d, cooled to r.t., and treated with 10% aq.  $K_2CO_3$  soln. (10 ml). The org. phase was separated, and the aq. phase was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (4 × 20 ml). The combined org. phases were dried ( $K_2CO_3$ ) and evaporated to give crude 41 (200 mg, 100%). Brown oil.  $R_f$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH/NH<sub>4</sub>OH 9:1:0.1) 0.56. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.08 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.8, H-C(2)); 3.73 – 3.67, 3.64 – 3.56 (2m, H-C(1), H-C(4)); 2.18 (dd, J = 14.3, 6.9, H<sub>endo</sub>-C(3)); 2.00 (ddt, J = 14.3, 5.3, 2.5, H<sub>evo</sub>-C(3)); 1.73 (tdd, J = 11.8, 5.3, 3.7, 1 H); 1.64 – 1.52 (m, 1 H); 1.28 – 1.09 (m, 2 H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 64.97 (d, C(1)); 56.74 (d, C(4)); 53.84 (d, C(2)); 44.83 (t, C(3)); 28.56, 27.04 (dt).

 $(IRS,2SR,4SR)-2-Bromo-7-\{(tert-butoxy)carbonyl\}-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane~~(\textbf{42}).~At~r.t.,~a~soln.~of~~\textbf{41}~(200~mg,~0.98~mmol)~in~CHCl_3~(10~ml)~was~treated~with~K_2CO_3~(140~mg,~0.98~mmol)~and~Boc_2O~(855~mg,~3.92~mmol),~and~stirred~for~19~h.~The~mixture~was~washed~with~H_2O,~and~the~aq.~phase~was~extracted~with~CHCl_3~(2 × 25~ml).~The~combined~org.~phases~were~dried~(Na_2SO_4)~and~evaporated.~FC~(40~g~of~silica~gel,~cyclohexane/AcOEt~12~:1)~gave~~\textbf{42}~(224~mg,~83\%~from~~\textbf{25}).~Colourless~oil.~R_f~(cyclohexane/AcOEt~3~:1)~0.61.~FT-IR~(0.7\%,~CHCl_3):~3008m,~2980m,~2879w,~1694s~(C=O),~1477w,~1454w,~1392s,~1368s,~1321m,~1177m,~1153s,~1134m,~1101m,~1048w,~983w,~907m,~886w,~872w,~849w.~^1H-NMR~(300~MHz,~CDCl_3):~4.41~-4.36,~4.34~-4.27~(2m,~H-C(1),~H-C(4));~3.99~(dd,~J=7.2,~3.4,~H-C(2));~2.33~-2.23~(br.~d,~J\approx14,~H_{exo}-C(3));~2.17~(dd,~J=13.7,~7.5,~H_{endo}-C(3));~1.94~-1.82~(m,~H-C(6));~1.78~-1.63~(m,~H-C(5));~1.46~(s,~t-Bu);~1.43~-1.25~(m,~H'-C(6),~H'-C(5)).~^{13}C-NMR~(75~MHz,~CDCl_3);~155.00~(s,~C=O);~79.93~(s,~Me_3C);~63.89~(d,~C(1));~55.61~(br.~d,~C(4));~49.71~(br.~d,~C(2));~43.61~(t,~C(3));~28.52,~28.00~(2t,~C(5),~C(6));~28.27~(q,~Me_3C).~ESI-MS:~332~(97),~330~(100,~[M+Na+MeOH]^+);~316~(50),~314~(45,~[M+K]^+);~300~(45),~298~(46,~[M+Na]^+).~Anal.~calc.~for~C_{11}H_{18}BrNO_2~(276.17):~C~47.84,~H~6.57,~N~5.07;~found:~C~47.78,~H~6.47,~N~5.16.$ 

Transformation of 27 into 42. At r.t., a soln. of 27 (15.28 g, 43.3 mmol) in MeOH (500 ml) and  $H_2O$  (200 ml) was treated with  $K_2CO_3$  (29.9 g, 216 mmol) and stirred for 13.5 h. MeOH was removed in vacuo below  $40^\circ$ . The residue was treated with sat. aq.  $K_2CO_3$  soln. (100 ml) and extracted with CHCl $_3$  (5 × 300 ml). The combined org. phases were dried ( $K_2CO_3$ ) and evaporated. The residue (crude 40; 11.1 g, 43.2 mmol) was dissolved in CHCl $_3$  (1 l), treated with  $K_2CO_3$  (5.97 g, 43.2 mmol), heated under reflux for 13 d, cooled to r.t. treated with Boc $_2O$  (60 ml, 0.26 mol) and  $K_2CO_3$  (5.97 g, 43.2 mmol), and stirred at r.t. for 3 d. The mixture was washed with  $H_2O$  (500 ml), and the aq. phase was extracted with CHCl $_3$  (500 ml). The combined org. phases were dried ( $N_2SO_4$ ) and evaporated. FC (300 g of silica gel; toluene/AcOEt 40:1) gave 42 (11.27 g, 93% from 27).

7-[(tert-Butoxy)carbonyl]-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene (43) [80]. A soln. of 42 (1.058 g, 3.83 mmol) in THF (50 ml) was treated with t-BuOK (473 mg, 4.21 mmol), heated under reflux for 3 h, cooled, and poured into brine (50 ml). The resulting mixture was extracted with  $\rm Et_2O$  (3 × 100 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (35 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 12:1) gave 43 (652 mg, 87%). Colourless oil. Data: see [80].

 $(IRS,2RS,3SR,4SR)-7-[(tert-Butoxy)carbonyl]-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,3-diol~(44).~A~soln.~of~43~(98~mg,~0.50~mmol)~in~acetone~(23~ml)~and~H_2O~(2.5~ml)~was treated with N-methylmorpholine~N-oxide monohydrate~(NMO;~102~mg,~0.75~mmol)~and~2.5%~OsO_4~in~t-BuOH~(0.5~ml,~40~\mumol)~, stirred~at~r.t.~for~22~h, diluted with sat.~aq.~Na_2S_O_5~soln.~(50~ml)~, and extracted with CHCl_3~(4 × 100~ml)~. The combined org. phases were dried~(Na_2SO_4)~and~evaporated~.FC~(30~g~of~silica~gel;~cyclohexane/AcOEt~1:1)~gave~44~(93.5~mg,~82%)~as~a~yellow~oil.~Crystallisation~from~hexane/CH_2Cl_2~gave~colourless~needles~of~44~(64~mg,~56%)~.~R_t~(cyclohexane/AcOEt~1:1)~0.19~M.p.~82°~. FT-IR~(0.5%~, CHCl_3):~3502w~(OH)~,~3004m,~2988m,~2884w,~1697s~(C=O)~,~1466m,~1368s,~1318m,~1170s,~1141s,~1111m,~1056s,~1010w,~972w,~931w,~803w.~^1H-NMR~(300~MHz,~CDCl_3):~4.11~(br.~s,~H-C(1),~H-C(4));~3.79~(br.~s,~H-C(2),~H-C(3));~3.28-3.08~(br.~s,~2~OH);~1.73-1.65~(m,~H-C(5),~H-C(6));~1.45~(s,~t-Bu);~1.81~(d,~J=8.1,~H'-C(5),~H'-C(6)).~^{13}C-NMR~(75~MHz,~CDCl_3):~157.22~(s,~C=O);~80.25~(s,~Me_3C);~74.24~(d,~C(2),~C(3));~62.26~(d,~C(1),~C(4));~28.26~(q,~Me_3C);~24.27~(t,~C(5),~C(6)).~ESI-MS:~498~(4,~[2M+K]^+)~,~481~(45,~[2M+Na]^+)~,~476~(8,~[2M+NH_4]^+)~,459~(3,~[2M+1]^+)~,262~(13,~[M+MeOH+1]^+)~,247~(95,~[M+NH_4]^+)~,230~(96,~[M+1]^+)~,206~(2)~,174~(7)~.~Anal.~calc.~for~C_{11}H_{19}NO_4~(229.28):~C~57.63~,H~8.35~,N~6.11;~found~C~57.78~,H~8.25~,N~6.10.$ 

(IRS,2RS,3SR,4SR)-2,3-Dihydroxy-7-azoniabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane Chloride (45 · HCl). A soln. of 44 (19.9 mg, 86 μmol) in 0.1n HCl (5 ml, 500 μmol) was stirred at 30° for 20 h and lyophilised to give colourless amorphous 45 · HCl (14.0 mg, 97%).  $R_{\rm f}$  (PrOH/AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 4 :1:1) 0.25. M.p. 205 –215° (dec.). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): 4.80 (d, J = 1.2, OH, NH); 4.16 (d, J = 1.2, H–C(2), H–C(3)); 4.06 (ddd, J = 3.7, 2.3, 1.5, H–C(1), H–C(4)); 1.95 –1.88 (m, H–C(5), H–C(6)); 1.69 (br. d, J = 8.1, H′–C(5), H′–C(6)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): 71.47 (d, C(2), C(3)); 64.32 (d, C(1), C(4)); 21.18 (t, C(5), C(6)), ESI-MS: 152 (7, [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>), 130 (100, [M + 1]<sup>+</sup>), 87 (24). HR-ESI-MS: 130.08620 (100,  $C_6$ H<sub>12</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>+, [M + 1]<sup>+</sup>; calc. 130.08626).

t-3,c-4-Dibromocyclohexan-r-1-amine (46). According to the preparation of 40, 26 (295 mg, 0.826 mmol) yielded 46 (226 mg, quant.) as a colourless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH/NH<sub>4</sub>OH 9:1:0.1) 0.47. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.70–4.65 (m, H–C(3)); 4.60–4.56 (m, H–C(4)); 3.33 (tt, J = 10.6, 4.4, H–C(1)); 2.51 (dddd, J = 15.3, 12.1, 4.4, 3.1,  $H_{\rm ax}$ –C(5)); 2.33 (ddd, J = 14.3, 10.9, 3.4,  $H_{\rm ax}$ –C(2)); 2.17 (br. d, J = 14.3,  $H_{\rm eq}$ –C(2)); 2.04 (br. d, J = 15.3,  $H_{\rm eq}$ –C(5)); 1.88–1.69 (m, 2 H–C(6)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 52.78, 52.71 (2d, C(3), C(4)); 45.33 (d, C(1)); 38.65 (t, C(2)); 30.98, 28.48 (2t, C(5), C(6)). ESI-MS: 355 (28), 353 (58), 351 (29); 292 (8), 290 (17), 288 (8, [M + MeOH + 1]+); 260 (48), 258 (100), 256 (51, [M + 1]+).

Cyclisation of **46**. A soln. of **46** (49 mg, 0.19 mmol) in 1,3-dichlorobenzene (10 ml) was treated with  $K_2CO_3$  (26 mg, 0.19 mmol) and heated slowly to  $120^\circ$ . TLC indicated no change. Then, the mixture was heated at  $130^\circ$  for 2 d, when TLC indicated the formation of a new compound ( $R_f$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH/NH<sub>4</sub>OH 9:1:0.1) 0.56). The mixture was cooled to r.t., treated with Boc<sub>2</sub>O (0.21 ml, 0.95 mmol), stirred for 3 d, and washed with sat. aq.  $K_2CO_3$  soln. (25 ml). The aq. phase was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (3 × 25 ml), and the combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (15 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 12:1) gave **42** (32.5 mg, 62%). Colourless oil.

*Methyl* c-2-*Amino*-c-4,t-5-*dibromocyclohexane*-r-1-*carboxylate* (47). A soln. of 29 (147 mg, 0.356 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (7.5 ml) was treated with CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H (0.5 ml), stirred at r.t. for 13 h, and evaporated. The residue was suspended in sat. aq. K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> soln. (15 ml) and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (3 × 20 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and evaporated to give 47 (140 mg, quant.). Slightly yellow oil.  $R_f$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9:1) 0.72. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.61−4.52 (m, 1 H), 4.35−4.26 (m, 1 H) (H−C(4), H−C(5)); 3.74 (s, MeO); 3.42−3.31 (m, H−C(2)); 3.02−2.98 (m, H−C(1)); 2.77 (s, ddd, s, J=14.8, 7.6, 3.7, H−C(6)); 2.62 (s, J=13.7, H−C(3)); 2.34 (s, J=14.6, 7.4, H′−C(3)); 2.13 (s, J=14.8, 8.0, 4.4, H′−C(6)). ESI-MS: 318 (49), 316 (100), 314 (46, [s, H+1]+); 236 (49), 234 (48, [s, Br]+).

*Methyl* (*1*RS,2SR,4RS,5SR)-5-*Bromo*-7-*azabicyclo*[2.2.1]*heptane*-2-*carboxylate* (**48**). A soln. of **47** (133 mg, ca. 0.35 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (10 ml) was treated with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.35 mmol), heated under reflux for 30 d, allowed to cool to r.t., and washed with sat. aq. K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> soln. (15 ml). The aq. phase was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2 × 25 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and evaporated to give crude **48** (122 mg). Yellow oil.  $R_f$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9:1) 0.74. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.00 (*dd*, J = 8.9, 3.4, H−C(5)); 3.92 (*d*, J = 4.7, H−C(1)); 3.80 (*d*, J = 5.3, H−C(4)); 3.66 (*s*, MeO); 2.36 (*dd*, J = 8.7, 4.4, H−C(2)); 2.17 (*dd*, J = 14.3, 6.9, H<sub>endo</sub>−C(6)); 2.12 −2.01 (*m*, H<sub>evo</sub>−C(6)); 2.05 (*dt*, J = 13.0, 4.9, H<sub>evo</sub>−C(3)); 1.58 (*dd*, J = 13.2, 8.9, H<sub>endo</sub>−C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 174.49 (*s*, C=O); 64.63, 60.53 (2*d*, C(1), C(4)); 52.18 (*q*, MeO); 50.95 (*d*, C(5)); 45.95 (*d*, C(2)); 43.53, 32.45 (2*t*, C(3), C(6)).

*Methyl* (1RS,2SR,4RS,5SR)-5-Bromo-7-[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2-carboxylate (49). A soln. of 48 (122 mg, ca. 0.35 mmol) in CHCl₃ (15 ml) was treated with  $K_2CO_3$  (48 mg, 0.35 mmol) and Boc₂O (0.32 ml, 1.4 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 16 d, and washed with  $H_2O$  (20 ml). The aq. phase was extracted with CHCl₃ (2 × 20 ml). The combined org. phases were dried ( $K_2CO_3$ ) and evaporated. FC (15 g of silica gel; cyclohexane/AcOEt 6:1) gave colourless crystalline 49 (74 mg, 62% from 29).  $R_t$  (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.35. M.p. 56.7 – 58.6°. FT-IR (1.5%, CHCl₃): 3027w, 3012w, 2982w, 2954w, 1737s (COOMe), 1697s (COO'Bu), 1477w, 1437m, 1392m, 1368s, 1323m, 1303w, 1145s, 1104w, 1039w, 979w, 916w, 883w. H-NMR (300 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 50°): 4.60 – 4.43 (br. s, H − C(1)); 4.38 – 4.25 (br. s, H − C(4)); 3.34 (s, MeO); 3.29 (dd, J = 7.5, 3.5, H − C(5)); 2.24 (dt, J = 13.1, 5.1,  $H_{exo}$  − C(3)); 2.01 (ddd, J = 13.9, 5.0, 3.6,  $H_{exo}$  − C(6)); 1.82 (dd, J = 8.7, 4.7, H − C(2)); 1.46 (dd, J = 14.0, 7.5,  $H_{endo}$  − C(6)); 1.42 (s, t-Bu); 0.87 (dd, J = 13.1, 8.7,  $H_{endo}$  − C(3)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ , 50°): 172.23 (s, CO<sub>2</sub>Me); 153.99 (s, CO<sub>2</sub>'Bu); 79.83 (s, Me<sub>3</sub>C); 64.18, 59.51 (2d, C(1), C(4)); 51.63 (q, MeO); 48.36, 46.15 (2d, C(2), C(5)); 43.25, 31.67 (2t, C(3), C(6)); 28.29 (q, Me<sub>3</sub>C). ESI-MS: 693 (35), 691 (65), 689 (32, [2M + Na]+); 390 (14), 388 (14, [M + Na + MeOH]+); 374 (22), 372 (20, [M + K]+); 358 (100), 356 (96, [M + Na]+); 336 (18), 334 (22, [M + 1]+); 280 (22), 278 (20, [M + 1 - C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>)+); 236 (16), 234 (18, [M + 1 - C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> - CO<sub>2</sub>]+).

*Methyl* c-2-*Amino*-t-4,c-5-*dibromocyclohexane*-r-1-*carboxylate* (**50**). According to the preparation of **47**, **30** (84 mg, 0.20 mmol) was transformed into **50** (93 mg, quant.). Slightly yellow oil.  $R_f$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9:1) 0.62. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.50 (*ddd*, J = 11.1, 9.8, 4.4, H−C(4)); 4.12−4.03 (m, H−C(5)); 3.70 (s, MeO); 2.68−2.56 (m, 4 H); 2.52 (dt, J = 14.3, 4.4, H<sub>eq</sub>−C(3)); 2.08 (ddd, J = 14.3, 11.2, 3.1, H<sub>ax</sub>−C(3)).

Cyclisation of **50**. A soln. of **50** (93 mg, ca. 0.20 mmol) in 1,3-dichlorobenzene (15 ml) was treated with  $K_2CO_3$  (27.6 mg, 0.20 mmol), stirred for 28 d at 120°, allowed to cool to r.t., treated with  $K_2CO_3$  (27.6 mg, 0.20 mmol) and Boc<sub>2</sub>O (0.3 ml, 1.3 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 10 d, and washed with  $H_2O$  (30 ml). The aq. phase was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2 × 20 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (12 g of silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 4:1) of the oily residue gave **49** (14 mg, 21%) as a yellow oil.

c-2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-t-4,c-5-dibromocyclohexan-r-1-amine (**51**). A soln. of **32** (290 mg, 0.607 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 ml) was treated with CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H (0.93 ml, 12.1 mmol), stirred at r.t. for 3 h, and evaporated. The residue was taken up in sat. aq. K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> soln. (20 ml) and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (3 × 25 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and evaporated to give crude **51** as a colourless oil (250 mg, quant.).  $R_f$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH/NH<sub>4</sub>OH 9:1:0.1) 0.61. ESI-MS: 380 (1), 378 (2), 376 (1, [M+1]<sup>+</sup>); 298 (96), 296 (100, [M-Br]<sup>+</sup>).

 $\label{eq:condition} \begin{subarray}{l} $(1RS,2SR,4RS,5SR)-2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-5-bromo-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (\bf 52). A soln. of crude \bf 51 (250 mg) in CHCl_3 (20 ml) was treated with $K_2CO_3$ (83 mg, 0.607 mmol), heated under reflux for 40 h, cooled to r.t., treated with $K_2CO_3$ (ca. 100 mg), and filtered. Evaporation of the filtrate gave crude$ **52** $(268 mg, quant.). \end{subarray}$ 

(1RS,2SR,4RS,5SR)-2-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-7-[(tert-butoxy)carbonyl]-5-bromo-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (53). A soln. of 52 (268 mg) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (25 ml) was treated with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (84 mg, 0.607 mmol), and Boc<sub>2</sub>O (0.55 ml, 2.4 mmol) and stirred at r.t. for 6 d. The mixture was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (25 ml), and the aq. phase was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The combined org. phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. FC (40 g of silica gel, cyclohexane/AcOEt 10:1) gave colourless crystalline 53 (194 mg, 84% from 32). R<sub>f</sub> (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1) 0.59. M.p. 112.2 – 113.6°. FT-IR (1%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3027w, 3015m, 2977w, 2932w, 2864w, 1693s (C=O), 1477w, 1455w, 1393m, 1368m, 1322m, 1111m, 910w. 1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>; ca. 2:1 mixture of diastereoisomers): signals for the major diastereoisomer: 7.38-7.24 (5 arom. H); 4.54 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH); 4.50 (br. s, H - C(4)); 4.45(d, J = 11.8, PhCH'); 4.37 (d, J = 5.3, H - C(1)); 4.00 (dd, J = 7.2, 3.7, H - C(5)); 3.30 (t, J = 8.9, CH - C(2)); 3.17 (d. J = 11.8, PhCH'); 4.07 (d. J = 11.8, PhCH'); 4.08 (d. J = 11.8, PhCH'); 4.09 (d. J = 11.8, PhCH'); 4.09 (d. J = 11.8, PhCH'); 4.00 (d. J $(dd, J = 9.2, 6.4, CH' - C(2)); 2.31 \text{ (br. } dt, J = 14.0, 4.4, H_{exo} - C(6)); 2.21 \text{ } (dd, J = 13.9, 7.3, H_{endo} - C(6)); 1.98 - C(6); 1.$  $1.85 (m, H-C(2)); 1.55 (dd, J=12.9, 8.3, H_{endo}-C(3)); 1.48 (s, t-Bu); 1.47-1.35 (m, H_{exo}-C(3));$  signals for the minor diastereoisomer: 4.48 (br. s, H-C(4)); 4.31 (d, J=4.7, H-C(1)); the other signals are hidden by the signals of the major diastereoisomer. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>; ca. 2:1 mixture of diastereoisomers): signals of the major diastereoisomer: 138.01 (s); 128.35, 128.27, 127.61, 127.49 (4d); 80.05 (d, Me<sub>3</sub>C); 73.25 (t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>);  $72.42 (t, CH_2-C(2)); 63.79, 57.18 (2d, C(1), C(4)); 49.73 (d, C(5)); 42.99 (t); 41.91 (d, C(2)); 32.79 (t); 28.39 (d); 41.91 (d);$ (a, Me<sub>2</sub>C): signals for the minor diastereoisomer: 138.01 (s): 128.35, 128.27, 127.61, 127.49 (4d): 79.92 (d, Me<sub>2</sub>C):  $73.34 (t, PhCH_2); 72.30 (t, CH_2-C(2)); 62.91, 57.65 (2d, C(1), C(4)); 48.88 (d, C(5)); 43.61 (t); 42.71 (d, C(2));$ 31.66(t);  $28.46(q, Me_3C)$ . ESI-MS: 420(100),  $418(94, [M+Na]^+)$ ; 364(72),  $362(67, [M+Na-C_4H_8]^+)$ ; 298(1.66); 364(72),  $362(67, [M+Na-C_4H_8]^+)$ ; 364(72),  $362(67, [M+Na-C_4H_8]^+)$ ; 364(72), 364(72),  $362(67, [M+Na-C_4H_8]^+)$ ; 364(72), (8), 296 (8). Anal. calc. for  $C_{19}H_{26}BrNO_3$  (396.32): C 57.58, H 6.61, N 3.53; found: C 57.88, H 6.51, N 3.61.

 372.1783 (80,  $C_{10}H_{27}NNaO_5^+$ ,  $[M+Na]^+$ ; calc. 372.1787), 316 (66,  $[M+Na-C_4H_8]^+$ ), 272 (28,  $[M+Na-C_4H_8-CO_2]^+$ ), 250 (100,  $[M+1-C_4H_8-CO_2]^+$ ).

(IRS,2RS,3SR,4SR,5SR)-7-[(tert-Butoxy)carbonyl]-5-(hydroxymethyl)-7-azabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,3-diol (**56**). A suspension of 10% Pd/C (30 mg) in MeOH (5 ml) was treated with a soln. of **55** (91 mg, 0.26 mmol) in MeOH (5 ml), put under a H₂ atmosphere (balloon), and stirred at r.t. for 19 h. Filtration through a membrane filter and evaporation gave crude **56** as a yellow oil (61 mg, 90%). Repeated FC (12 g of silica gel; CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 12:1) gave **56**. (41 mg, 60%). Colourless oil.  $R_f$  (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1) 0.46. FT-IR (1%, CHCl₃): 3693w, 3623w, 3489w (br., OH), 3028w, 3011m, 2972s, 2875w, 1674s (C=O), 1603w, 1475m, 1456m, 1393s, 1369s, 1318m, 1115m, 1050m, 911w, 872w. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD; ca. 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers): 4.02 (br. s, H−C(4)); 3.99 (br. d, J = 5.3, H−C(1)); 3.80 (br. d, J ≈ 6.2), 3.77 (d, J = 6.2) (H−C(2), H−C(3)); 3.32 – 3.24 (m, CH₂−C(5)); 1.82 (dtd, J = 8.1, 7.9, 4.7, H−C(5)); 1.48 (dd, J = 12.8, 8.4, H<sub>endo</sub>−C(6)); 1.45 (s, t-Bu); 1.10, 1.09 (2dt, J = 12.5, 5.3, H<sub>cxo</sub>−C(6)). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD; ca. 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers): 156.61 (C=O); 79.92, 79.80 (Me₃C); 73.67, 73.46, 73.37 73.16 (C(2), C(3)); 63.97 (2 C), 63.83, 62.95, 62.41, 61.22 (C(1), C(4), CH₂−C(5)); 40.99 (2 C, C(5)); 28.25, 27.94 (C(6); 27.43 (Me₃C). HR-MALDI-MS (DHB): 314.1745 (49, C₁₃H₂sNNaO₆, [M+Na+MeOH]+; calc. 314.1580), 224 (11).

 $(IRS,2RS,3SR,4SR,5SR)-2,3-Dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl)-7-azoniabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane~Chloride~(\bf{57}\cdot HCl).~A~soln.~of~\bf{56}~(40~mg,~0.154~mmol)~in~0.1n~HCl~(5~ml)~was~stirred~at~r.t.~for~43~h~and~lyophilised~to~give~colourless~amorphous~\bf{57}\cdot HCl\cdot H_2O~(33.2~mg,~100\%).~R_f~(PrOH/AcOH/H_2O~4:1:1)~0.52.~^1H-NMR~(300~MHz,~CD_3OD):~4.10~4.06~(m,~H-C(2),~H-C(3));~3.93~(d,~J=5.0,~H-C(1));~3.91~(br.~s,~H-C(4));~3.65~(dd,~J=10.7,~4.5,~CH-C(5));~3.48~(dd,~J=10.6,~5.9,~CH'-C(5));~2.09~(sext.,~J=4.9,~H-C(5));~1.86~(dd,~J=13.5,~9.2,~H_{endo}-C(6));~1.67~(dt,~J=13.5,~5.1,~H_{exo}-C(6)).~^{13}C-NMR~(75~MHz,~CD_3OD):~72.11~(C(2),~C(3));~68.48~(CH_2-C(5));~66.03~(C(4));~63.21~(C(1));~37.46~(C(5));~26.74~(C(6)).~HR-ESI-MS:~160.09655~(C_7H_{14}NO_3^+,~[M+1]^+;~calc.~160.09682).$ 

(1RS,5SR,6RS,8SR)-6-[(Benzyloxy)methyl]-8-bromo-3-(trifluoromethyl)-2-oxa-4-azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-3-ene (58). A soln. of 37 (9.3 mg, 19.7 μmol) in MeOH (1.5 ml) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.6 ml) was treated with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (13.5 mg, 98 μmol), stirred at r.t. for 26 h, and evaporated. The residue was suspended in sat. aq. K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> soln. (10 ml), and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (3 × 10 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and evaporated to yield crude 58 (1.6 mg, quant.). Colourless oil.  $R_{\rm f}$  (toluene/AcOEt 10:1) 0.36. FT-IR (1%, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3008m, 2871m, 1689m, 1455m, 1393m, 1373m, 1319m, 1300m, 1128m, 1107m, 1090m, 909m, 14-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.38 –7.27 (5 arom. H); 4.79 –4.75 (m, H – C(1)); 4.54, 4.48 (2d, d) = 11.8, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 4.16 (ddd, d) = 12.5, 5.3, 2.2, H – C(8)); 4.06 –4.02 (m, H – C(5)); 3.55 (dd, d) = 9.0, 7.2, CH – C(6)); 3.27 (dd, d) = 9.0, 6.9, CH' – C(6)); 2.36 (dd, d) = 13.9, 4.7, H<sub>eq</sub> – C(7)); 2.28 –2.16 (dm, H – C(6)); 2.11 (dd, d) = 14.0, 4.1, H<sub>eq</sub> – C(9)); 1.86 (dd, d) = 14.0, 1.6, H<sub>ax</sub> – C(9)); 1.52 (dd, d) = 14.0, 12.5, H<sub>ax</sub> – C(7)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); 137.88 (d); 128.33, 127.60 (dd); 75.65 (d, C(1)); 73.41 (d, PhCH<sub>2</sub>)); 71.38 (d, CH<sub>2</sub> – C(6)); 50.15, 46.50 (2d, C(5), C(8)); 44.27 (d, C(6)); 31.65 (d, C(9)); 28.82 (d, C(7)). <sup>19</sup>F-NMR (282 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): –73.69 (d). ESI-MS: 448 (1), 446 (1, [d) + Na + MeOH]+); 432 (16), 430 (15, [d) + K]+); 416 (97), 414 (100, [d) + Na]+); 394 (23), 392 (22, [d) + 1]+); 380 (42), 378 (81), 376 (40, [d) – CF<sub>3</sub>CN + HBr]+); 298 (11), 296 (16, [d) — CF<sub>3</sub>CN]+).

Inhibition Studies. Determination of the  $IC_{50}$  values was performed with a range of inhibitor concentrations (typically 4–8 concentrations), which bracket the  $IC_{50}$  value, using [S]  $\approx K_{\rm M}$ .  $\beta$ -Glucosidase from almonds (pH 6.8, 37°),  $\beta$ -glucosidase from Caldocellum saccharolyticum (pH 6.8, 55°), and  $\alpha$ -glucosidase from brewer's yeast (pH 6.8, 37°) as described in [87]. For  $\beta$ -mannosidase from snail acetone powder (pH 4.5, 27°), 4-nitrophenyl  $\beta$ -D-mannopyranoside was used as substrate [3], and for  $\alpha$ -mannosidase from Jack beans (pH 4.5, 25°) 4-nitrophenyl  $\alpha$ -D-mannopyranoside [3].

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Deslongchamps, 'Stereoelectronic Effects in Organic Chemistry', Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1983.
- [2] C. W. Andrews, B. Fraser-Reid, J. P. Bowen, in 'The Anomeric Effect and Associated Stereoelectronic Effects', Ed. G. R. J. Thatcher, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1993, 539, p. 114.
- [3] M. Böhm, E. Lorthiois, M. Meyyappan, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 3787.
- [4] A. Varrot, J. Macdonald, R. V. Stick, G. Pell, H. J. Gilbert, G. J. Davies, Chem. Commun. 2003, 946.
- [5] N. Asano, K. Oseki, E. Tomioka, H. Kizu, K. Matsui, Carbohydr. Res. 1994, 259, 243.
- [6] M. Böhm, E. Lorthiois, M. Meyyappan, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 3818.
- [7] S. Fort, P. M. Coutinho, M. Schülein, R. Nardin, S. Cottaz, H. Driguez, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 3443.
- [8] L. Hosie, M. L. Sinnott, Biochem. J. 1985, 226, 437.

- [9] K. S. E. Tanaka, A. J. Bennet, Can. J. Chem. 1998, 76, 431.
- [10] J. W. Daly, T. Tokuyama, T. Fujiwara, R. J. Highet, I. L. Karle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 830.
- [11] E. J. Corey, T.-P. Loh, S. AchyuthaRao, D. C. Daley, S. Sarshar, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5600.
- [12] S. Robin, G. Rousseau, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 13681.
- [13] E. W. Della, P. R. Jefferies, Aust. J. Chem. 1961, 14, 610.
- [14] J. von Braun, W. Haensel, F. Zobel, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1928, 462, 283.
- [15] R. F. Parcell, J. P. Sanchez, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 5228.
- [16] J. Tadanier, J. R. Martin, A. M. Nadzan, P. Johnson, J. Holms, R. Hallas, R. Stanaszek, M. Cirovic, D. Grampovnik, A. W. Goldstein, *Carbohydr. Res.* 1981, 96, 185.
- [17] B. A. Marples, R. C. Toon, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4873.
- [18] Z. Chen, M. L. Trudell, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1179.
- [19] D. Bai, R. Xu, X. Zhu, Drugs Future 1997, 22, 1210.
- [20] H. F. Olivio, M. S. Hemenway, Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 2002, 34, 1.
- [21] I. Gillaizeau-Gauthier, J. Royer, H.-P. Husson, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 1484.
- [22] R. N. Warrener, G. Sun, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 465.
- [23] J.-P. G. Seerden, M. T. M. Tulp, H. W. Scheeren, C. G. Kruse, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1998, 6, 2103.
- [24] A. Otten, J. C. Namyslo, M. Stoermer, D. E. Kaufmann, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 1997.
- [25] A. J. Moreno-Vargas, C. Schütz, R. Scopelliti, P. Vogel, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5632.
- [26] S. C. Clayton, A. C. Regan, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7493.
- [27] C. Zhang, M. L. Trudell, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7189.
- [28] S. Singh, G. P. Basmadjian, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 6829.
- [29] L. E. Brieaddy, F. Liang, P. Abraham, J. R. Lee, F. I. Carroll, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5321.
- [30] G. M. P. Giblin, C. D. Jones, N. S. Simpkins, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 3689.
- [31] C. D. Jones, N. S. Simpkins, G. M. P. Giblin, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 1023.
- [32] C. Zhang, C. J. Ballay II, M. L. Trudell, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 675.
- [33] H. F. Olivio, M. S. Hemenway, M. H. Gezginci, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 1309.
- [34] R. R. Fraser, R. B. Swingle, Can. J. Chem. 1970, 48, 2065.
- [35] A. Avenoza, C. Cativiela, J. H. Busto, J. M. Peregrina, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 7123.
- [36] C. Szantay, Z. Kardos-Balogh, I. Moldvai, J. Szantay, C., E. Temesvari-Major, G. Blasko, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 11053.
- [37] H. Kosugi, M. Abe, R. Hatsuda, H. Uda, M. Kato, Chem. Commun. 1997, 1857.
- [38] N. S. Sirisoma, C. R. Johnson, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 2959.
- [39] J. Habermann, S. V. Ley, J. S. Scott, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 1253.
- [40] D. A. Evans, K. A. Scheidt, C. W. Downey, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3009.
- [41] S. Aoyagi, R. Tanaka, M. Naruse, C. Kibayashi, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8397.
- [42] J. E. Bäckvall, Pure Appl. Chem. 1999, 71, 1065.
- [43] B. M. Trost, G. R. Cook, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 7485.
- [44] H. Nakashima, K. Hiroya, T. Taniguchi, K. Ogasawara, Synlett 1999, 1405.
- [45] E. Albertini, A. Barco, S. Benetti, C. De Risi, G. P. Pollini, V. Zanirato, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 17177.
- [46] M. T. Barros, C. D. Maycock, M. R. Ventura, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 166.
- [47] S. R. Fletcher, R. Baker, M. S. Chambers, R. H. Herbert, S. C. Hobbs, S. R. Thomas, H. M. Verrier, A. P. Watt, R. G. Ball, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 1771.
- [48] J. R. Pfister, W. E. Wymann, R. M. Weissberg, A. M. Strosberg, J. Pharm. Sci. 1985, 74, 208.
- [49] K. C. V. Ramanaiah, N. Zhu, C. Klein-Stevens, M. L. Trudell, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1439.
- [50] A. Avenoza, C. Cativiela, M. A. Fernandez-Recio, J. M. Peregrina, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 3999.
- [51] I. Cabanal-Duvillard, J.-F. Berrien, J. Royer, H.-P. Husson, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5181.
- [52] J. W. Bastable, A. J. Copper, I. R. Dunkin, J. D. Hobson, W. D. Riddell, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 1339.
- [53] M. E. Maier, T. Lapeva, Synlett 1998, 891.
- [54] H. Okumoto, S. Nishihara, S. Yamamoto, H. Hino, A. Nozawa, A. Suzuki, Synlett 2000, 991.
- [55] J. R. Pfister, W. E. Wymann, Synthesis 1983, 38.
- [56] P. A. Jacobi, S. Murphree, F. Rupprecht, W. Zheng, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 2413.
- [57] M. Toyota, M. Yokota, M. Ihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1856.
- [58] D. L. Boger, S. H. Kim, Y. Mori, J.-H. Weng, O. Rogel, S. L. Castle, J. J. McAtee, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1862.

- [59] Y. Konda, Y. Takahashi, S. Arima, N. Sato, K. Takeda, K. Dobashi, M. Baba, Y. Harigaya, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 4311.
- [60] M.-E. Gourdel-Martin, F. Huet, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2166.
- [61] F. Mohamadi, N. G. J. Richards, W. C. Guida, R. Liskamp, C. Caufield, M. Lipton, G. Chang, T. Hendrickson, W. C. Still, J. Comput. Chem. 1990, 11, 440.
- [62] L. A. Popova, V. I. Biba, V. M. Prishchepenko, S. V. Shavyrin, N. G. Kozlov, J. Gen. Chem. USSR 1993, 67, 1346.
- [63] R. J. Ryan, S. Julia, Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 3649.
- [64] A. D. Jones, D. W. Knight, D. E. Hibbs, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 1182.
- [65] A. Avenoza, C. Cativiela, J. M. Peregrina, Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 10021.
- [66] B. Trupp, H. Fritz, H. Prinzbach, H. Irmgartinger, U. Reifenstahl, Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 1777.
- [67] A. G. Griesbeck, H. Heckroth, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 396.
- [68] A. Modro, G. H. Schmid, K. Yates, J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3673.
- [69] G. Bellucci, R. Bianchini, S. Vecchiani, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4224.
- [70] G. Bellucci, C. Chiappe, G. Lo Moro, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3176.
- [71] A. Boschi, C. Chiappe, A. De Rubertis, M. F. Ruasse, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 8470.
- [72] P. L. Barili, G. Bellucci, F. Marioni, I. Morelli, V. Scartoni, J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 4353.
- [73] T. J. Donohoe, L. Mitchell, M. J. Waring, M. Helliwell, A. Bell, N. J. Newcombe, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 2173.
- [74] R. D. Green, Can. J. Chem. 1969, 47, 2407.
- [75] P. L. Barili, G. Bellucci, G. Berti, M. Golfarini, F. Marioni, V. Scartoni, Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1974, 104, 107.
- [76] G. Bellucci, G. Berti, R. Bianchini, G. Ingrosso, E. Mastrorilli, Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1976, 106, 955.
- [77] G. Bellucci, C. Chiappe, F. Marioni, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 515.
- [78] P. K. Bakshi, M. A. James, T. S. Cameron, O. Knop, Can. J. Chem. 1996, 74, 559.
- [79] K. N. Robertson, P. K. Bakshi, T. S. Cameron, O. Knop, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1997, 623, 104.
- [80] L. Dolci, F. Dolle, H. Valette, F. Vaufrey, C. Fuseau, M. Bottlaender, C. Crouzel, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1999, 7, 467.
- [81] B. P. Hart, H. Rapoport, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2050.
- [82] G. Bellucci, M. Ferretti, G. Ingrosso, F. Marioni, A. Marsili, I. Morelli, Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 34, 3527.
- [83] J. J. Moura Ramos, L. Dumont, L. M. Stien, J. Reisse, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4150.
- [84] L. M. Jackman, S. Sternhell, 'Applications of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry', Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1969.
- [85] R. Davies, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1975, 1400.
- [86] D. Höfner, S. A. Lesko, G. Binsch, Org. Magn. Reson. 1978, 11, 179.
- [87] P. Kapferer, V. Birault, J.-F. Poisson, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 2210.

Received July 24, 2004